

Hairs of discrete symmetries (Top-down and bottom-up models)

Jihn E. Kim

Kyung Hee University, CAPP, IBS, Seoul National Univ.

Warsaw, 26 May 2017

arXiv:1703.05389 [hep-th]

J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,

1. Discrete gauge symmetries



Symmetry is beautiful: a framework, beginning with Gross' grand design.

Parity: Slightly broken!



From top-down approach:

Discrete symmetries are better to be subgroups of gauge symmetries such that spontaneous breaking of the gauge symmetries to those discrete groups do not lead to any unsatisfactory behavior. [Krauss-Wilzek (1989)]

This defines 'discrete gauge symmetries'

Discrete gauge symmetries have been used practically in most model buildings afterwards.

From top-down view of electroweak scale:

Chiral representations at the GUT scale are the key in obtaining the SM at low energy. The choice of 200 GeV as a low energy scale depended on the details of parameters.

At this conference many other reasons were given for the scale of the SM.

The SM is a chiral model.

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nu \\ e \end{pmatrix}_{L}, e_{L}^{c} \\ Tr Y=0, \qquad Tr Y^{3} = 2\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{3} + (+1)^{3} = +\frac{3}{4}$$

Lepton part alone cannot give a chiral model. Factionally charged quarks are needed.

$$\begin{pmatrix} u \\ d \end{pmatrix}_{L}, \quad u_{L}^{c}, \quad d_{L}^{c} \\ Y = \frac{+1}{6}, \quad \frac{-2}{3}, \quad \frac{+1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$
 Tr Y=0,
$$\operatorname{Tr} Y^{3} = 6 \left(+\frac{1}{6} \right)^{3} + 3 \left(-\frac{2}{3} \right)^{3} + 3 \left(+\frac{1}{3} \right)^{3} = -\frac{3}{4}$$

The Han-Nambu model also works. The color was first introduced. The problem was known in the paper of Okubo's Omega minus particle prediction.

- SU(3): Vectorlike representations, hence not allowed,
- $SU(2) \times SU(2)$: No chiral theory with even number of doublets,
- $U(1) \times U(1)'$: Six conditions for the absence of anomalies, $\{TrY, TrY', TrY^3, TrY'^3, TrYY'^2, TrY^2Y'\} = 0$

 $SU(2) \times U(1)$: Two conditions with doublets and singlets, $\{TrY, TrY^3\} = 0$.

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i^3 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i^2 - \sum_{i\neq j}^{4N} Q_i Q_j\right) + 3 \sum_{i\neq j\neq k}^{4N} Q_i Q_j Q_k = 0.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i = 0, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i^3 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{4N} Q_i^2 - \sum_{i\neq j}^{4N} Q_i Q_j\right) + 3\sum_{i\neq j\neq k}^{4N} Q_i Q_j Q_k = 0.$$

J E Kim Hairs of Discrete Symmetries, Planck17@Warsaw, 24 May 2017. 9

There is a chiral model.

$$Q = \frac{1}{2}: \quad \ell_{i} = \begin{pmatrix} E_{i} \\ N_{i} \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{+1}{2}}, \quad \frac{E_{i,-1}^{c}}{N_{i,0}^{c}}, \quad (i = 1, 2, 3)$$
$$Q = -\frac{3}{2}: \quad \mathcal{L} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{E} \\ \mathcal{F} \end{pmatrix}_{\frac{-3}{2}}, \quad \frac{\mathcal{E}_{i,+1}^{c}}{\mathcal{F}_{i,+2}^{c}}$$

$$\operatorname{Tr} Q^{3} = 3 \left[2 \left(\frac{+1}{2} \right)^{3} + (-1)^{3} \right] \qquad \qquad \frac{-9}{4} \\ + 2 \left(\frac{-3}{2} \right)^{3} + (+1)^{3} + (+2)^{3} \qquad \qquad \frac{-27 + 4 + 32}{4}$$

Three families and the new chiral model appear together in string compactification (orbifold Z(12-I)).

A hope to detect this new chiral representation, at LHC:

Kim, arXiv:1703.10925 [hep-ph]

2. Approximate global symmetries

After the Brout-Englert-Higgs-Guralnik-Hagen-Kibble mechanism has been accepted, we do not talk about approximate gauge symmetries. But, even now we use the word, "approximate global symmetries".

If the discrete symmetries are subgroups of gauge groups, then we do not talk about approximate discrete symmetries. But, if a discrete symmetry is a subgroup of global symmetries, then we can talk about approximate discrete symmetries.

J E Kim



VEV of scalar phi gives the f_a scale.



J E Kim



Detection of "invisible" axion CDM by cavity detectors: CAPP, IBS



2. Discrete symmetries and domain walls

J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,



Vacua settle in the evolving Universe lead to domain walls. Basically, the discrete symmetry is not assumed to be broken. Spontaneous breaking of the discrete symmetry is in the evolving Universe.



J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,

 $Z_{\rm N}$ models, N=2,3, ..., lead to domain walls. If $Z_{\rm N}$ arises from a subgroup of U(1) creating strings and broken by anomaly, we can think of N domain walls, going around the string 360 degrees,





But, NDW=1 does not have a serious cosmological DW problem.



J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,

But, NDW>1 have serious cosmological DW problems. [Sikivie (1982)]

Sakikawa (Tokyo group) talk at Patras 2017, with NDW=1:

Estimates of ξ and ϵ may differ by an order of magnitude. Accordingly, the prediction for the PQ scale is ambiguous.

 $\Omega_a = \Omega_{
m CDM}$ \longrightarrow $7 \times 10^9 \, {
m GeV} \lesssim F_a \lesssim 3 \times 10^{12} \, {
m GeV}$ (?)

Median: 5x10¹¹ GeV

Roughly, 10^{11} GeV gives about 20% of axion dark matter with axion mass around 0.6x 10^{-4} eV.

Lattice calculation of temperature dependence between 100 MeV to 1 GeV got interest at the Patras conference. Here, I point out the axion bottle neck problem.



Anharmonic effect: Stays there long time until T is sufficiently lowered.



Figure 6.2: The numerical solution (blue) in the anharmonic regime 0.99π [6].

 m_0 = constant, T=0 value. More than one period. For T-dependent mass, more time is needed.

 $m_0 = T$ dependent.

Bae-Huh-K, 0806.0497 [hep-ph] K, RMP 82, 557 (2010)



3. DW boundaries : Hairs

J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,

Charge inside a ball:

vacuum charge

$$q = \frac{1}{2i} \int d^3x \, (\Phi^* \partial_t \Phi - \Phi \partial_t \Phi^*).$$





Hairs: thickness is the same at any distance from the surface.





Boundary of domain walls looks like a hair.

$$j^{\theta\varphi}(\theta,\varphi) = \frac{1}{r^2}\delta(\cos\theta - \cos\theta_0)\delta(\varphi - \varphi_0).$$

The surface integral over the closed surface gives 1, the charge inside the surface.

1703.05389 [hep-th]

J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,

Bottom-up approach:



Z2 tadpole ends:

at head or at horizon

Wormholes do not break the discrete symmetry.

Blackhole hairs



 $r_+ = \frac{1}{2} \left(r_{\rm S} + \sqrt{r_{\rm S}^2 - 4r_{\rm Q}^2} \right)$

Reissner-Norstroem BH radius: E cannot end inside the horizon. But mass took into account this. So, the field energy outside horizon must be subtracted. Except a tiny hole, the BH horizon makes sense.

The bundle of flux lines is like our hair.

With discrete symmetry:



It gives a hair also near the BH.

J E Kim

Hairs of Discrete Symmetries,

5. Conclusion

- 1. Symmetries.
- 2. Domain walls.
- 3. Intersection of DW boundaries: hairs