Motivation

I(2+1)HDM

DM

LH

Conclusion

Scalar Dark Matter in Multi-Inert Doublet Models

Dorota Sokołowska

University of Warsaw, Faculty of Physics, Institute of Theoretical Physics

Planck 2017, Warsaw, 25.05.2017

based on

JHEP 1612 (2016) 014 and work in progress with A. Cordero-Cid, J. Hernandez-Sanchez, V. Keus, S. F. King, S. Moretti, D. Rojas

Mo	tivat	ion
----	-------	-----

DM

The Standard Model

A rigorously tested Theory of Fundamental Interactions

From the LHC:

- a Higgs particle found in 2012
- no significant deviation from the SM
- no sign of New Physics

But no explanation for:

- Dark Matter
- neutrino masses
- baryon asymmetry and baryogenesis
- extra source of CP violation
- vacuum stability

. . .



JHEP 08 (2016) 045

< □ ▶ < 圖 ▶ < 置 ▶ < 置 ▶ 是目目 のへで 2/23

Dark Matter

Evidence for Dark Matter at diverse scales:

- galaxy scales: rotational speeds of galaxies
- cluster scales: gravitational lensing at galaxy clusters
- horizon scales: anisotropies in the CMB
- \Rightarrow around 25 % of the Universe is:
 - cold
 - non-baryonic
 - neutral
 - very weakly interacting
- \Rightarrow Weakly Interacting Massive Particle
 - stable due to the discrete symmetry

$$\underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \ \mathrm{DM} \to \mathrm{SM} \ \mathrm{SM}}_{\text{pair annihilation}}, \quad \underbrace{\mathrm{DM} \not\to \mathrm{SM}, \ldots}_{\text{stable}}$$

- annihilation cross-section $\langle \sigma v \rangle \propto {\rm EW}$ interaction
- thermal evolution of DM density a fixed value after freeze-out

Motivation

Higgs-portal DM

Simplest realisation: the SM with $\Phi_{SM} + Z_2$ -odd scalar S:

 $S \to -S$, SM fields \to SM fields

 $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial S)^2 - \frac{1}{2} m_{DM}^2 S^2 - \lambda_{DM} S^4 - \lambda_{SSh} \Phi_{SM}^2 S^2$

 $\mathrm{SM}\ \mathrm{sector}\ \stackrel{\mathrm{Higgs}}{\longleftrightarrow} \mathrm{DM}\ \mathrm{sector}$



given by the same coupling

Strong constraints from relic density + direct detection + Higgs decays \Rightarrow modified Higgs-portal-type DM candidates in multi-scalar models in this talk: focus on **DM phenomenology** in the I(2+1)HDM i.e. 3HDM with Two Inert and One Higgs doublet Motivation

DM

I(2+1)HDM

 Z_2 -symmetry in I(2+1)HDM:

 $\phi_1 \to -\phi_1, \ \phi_2 \to -\phi_2, \quad \phi_3 \to \phi_3, \ {\rm SM \ fields} \to {\rm SM \ fields}$

 Z_2 -invariant potential:

$$\begin{split} V &= \sum_{i}^{3} \left[-|\mu_{i}^{2}| (\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}) + \lambda_{ii}(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i})^{2} \right] + \sum_{ij}^{3} \left[\lambda_{ij}(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{i})(\phi_{j}^{\dagger}\phi_{j}) + \lambda_{ij}'(\phi_{i}^{\dagger}\phi_{j})(\phi_{j}^{\dagger}\phi_{i}) \right] \\ &+ \left(-\mu_{12}^{2}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{1}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})^{2} + \lambda_{2}(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3})^{2} + \lambda_{3}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})^{2} + h.c. \right) \\ &+ \left(\lambda_{4}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{5}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{3}) + \lambda_{6}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{1}) \\ &+ \lambda_{7}(\phi_{1}^{\dagger}\phi_{2})(\phi_{2}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + \lambda_{8}(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{1})(\phi_{3}^{\dagger}\phi_{2}) + h.c. \right) \end{split}$$

- 21 parameters in V
- $\mu_{12}^2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ are complex
- Yukawa interaction: "Model I"-type (only ϕ_3 couples to fermions)
- explicit Z_2 -symmetry

7		2			2		
			V	sr			

Parameters of V

- $\mu_3^2 = v^2 \lambda_{33} = m_h^2/2$ fixed from extremum conditions
- "dark democracy": $\mu_1^2 = \mu_2^2$, $\lambda_{13} = \lambda_{23}$, $\lambda'_{13} = \lambda'_{23}$, $\lambda_3 = \lambda_2$, e.g. $\lambda_2(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + \lambda_3(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2 + h.c. \rightarrow \lambda_2\left((\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3)^2 + (\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)^2 + h.c.\right)$
- $\left(\lambda_4(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_1)(\phi_2^{\dagger}\phi_3) + \lambda_5(\phi_1^{\dagger}\phi_2)(\phi_3^{\dagger}\phi_3) + ...\right)$: no new phenomenology $\Rightarrow \lambda_{4-8} = 0$
- $\lambda_1, \lambda_{11,22,12}, \lambda'_{12}$ self-interactions of inert doublets

21 parameters \rightarrow 7 important parameters

- μ_2^2 mass scale of inert particles
- $\mu_{12}^2 = |\mu_{12}^2|e^{i\theta_{12}}, \lambda_2 = |\lambda_2|e^{i\theta_2}$ mass splittings and CPv phase
- $\lambda_2, \lambda_{23}, \lambda'_{23}$ DM-Higgs coupling

Motivation

DM

DM in I(2+1)HDM

 Z_2 -invariant vacuum state:

$$\phi_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^+ \\ \frac{H_1^0 + iA_1^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ \frac{H_2^0 + iA_2^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \phi_3 = \begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ \frac{\psi + h + iG^0}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

- ϕ_3 SM-like doublet with SM-like Higgs h
- Z_2 -odd doublets ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 mix:

$$S_{1} = \frac{\alpha H_{1}^{0} + \alpha H_{2}^{0} - A_{1}^{0} + A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^{2} + 2}}, \quad S_{2} = \frac{-H_{1}^{0} - H_{2}^{0} - \alpha A_{1}^{0} + \alpha A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\alpha^{2} + 2}}$$
$$S_{3} = \frac{\beta H_{1}^{0} - \beta H_{2}^{0} + A_{1}^{0} + A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\beta^{2} + 2}}, \quad S_{4} = \frac{-H_{1}^{0} + H_{2}^{0} + \beta A_{1}^{0} + \beta A_{2}^{0}}{\sqrt{2\beta^{2} + 2}}$$
$$S_{1}^{\pm} = \frac{e^{\pm i\theta_{12}/2}}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{1}^{\pm} - S_{2}^{\pm}), \qquad S_{2}^{\pm} = \frac{e^{\mp i\theta_{12}/2}}{\sqrt{2}} (S_{1}^{\pm} + S_{2}^{\pm})$$

- 4 neutral and 4 charged Z_2 -odd particles (double the IDM)
- S_1 **DM candidate**, other dark particles heavier

DM

8/23

Physical Parameters

Parameters of V:
$$\mu_2^2, |\lambda_2|, |\mu_{12}^2|, \lambda_{23}, \lambda'_{23}, \theta_{12}, \theta_2$$

Physical parameters:

DM mass:

 m_{S_1}

Mass splittings:

$$\begin{split} \delta_{12} &= m_{S_2} - m_{S_1} \\ \delta_{1c} &= m_{S_1^{\pm}} - m_{S_1} \\ \delta_c &= m_{S_2^{\pm}} - m_{S_1^{\pm}} \end{split}$$

Higgs-DM coupling:

 $g_{S_1S_1h}$

CPv phases:

 θ_{12}, θ_2



Votivation	-					
		÷				
	-		~			

DM

Benchmark scenarios

This talk: $m_{S_1} < m_Z$:

A1: $\delta_{12} = 125 \,\text{GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 50 \,\text{GeV}, \delta_c = 50 \,\text{GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 1.5$

 $m_{S_1} < m_{S_{2,3,4}}, m_{S_{1,2}^{\pm}}$ (no coannihilation)

B1: $\delta_{12} = 125 \text{ GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 50 \text{ GeV}, \delta_c = 50 \text{ GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 0.82$

 $m_{S_1} \approx m_{S_3} < m_{S_{2,4}}, m_{S_{1,2}^{\pm}}$

 $C1: \ \delta_{12} = 12 \,\text{GeV}, \delta_{1c} = 100 \,\text{GeV}, \delta_c = 1 \,\text{GeV}, \theta_2 = \theta_{12} = 1.57$

 $m_{S_1} \approx m_{S_3} \approx m_{S_4} \approx m_{S_2} < m_{S_{1,2}^{\pm}}$

Checked against experimental and theoretical constraints: details in JHEP 1612 (2016) 014 Motivation

I(2+1)HDM

DM

Conclusion

DM Annihilation for light DM



Higgs-mediated annihilation

depends on m_{S_1} and $g_{S_1S_1h}$

Z-mediated coannihilation

depends on $m_{S_j} - m_{S_1}$: **A1**: no coannihilation **B1**: S_1S_3 coannihilation only **C1**: S_1S_3 , S_2S_4 , S_1S_4 , S_2S_3 coann. depends on ZS_iS_j couplings

Z-inert couplings



- -0.8 -0.8 -1.0 -1.0
- mass order: $m_{S_1} < m_{S_3} < m_{S_4} < m_{S_2}$
- CPc value $\chi_{ZS_1S_3} = -1, \chi_{ZS_1S_4} = 0$
- ZS_1S_3 reduced; 20 50% for A1, B1, ~ 0 for C1
- ZS_1S_4 close to the CPc value \rightarrow dominant channel for C1

Low DM mass





- A1: mainly Higgs annihilation, large $g_{S_1S_1h}$
- B1: Higgs annihilation (smaller $g_{S_1S_1h}$)

 $+ ZS_1S_3$ coannihilation (reduced with respect to the CPc case)

C1: mainly ZS_1S_4 coannihilation $(\chi_{ZS_1S_4} \approx -1)$ + Higgs annihilation

Tools used in calculation: LanHEP, arXiv:1412.5016 [physics.comp-ph]; CalcHEP 3.4, Comput. Phys. Commun. **184** (2013) 1729;micrOMEGAs 4.2 arXiv:1407.6129 [hep-ph]

Medium DM mass

no dependence on the benchmarks



Motivation	I(2+1)HDM	DM	LHC	Conclusion

Filling the plot



 $m_{S_1} < m_h/2$: many new solutions:

different mass splittings $+ ZS_iS_j$ interaction strength

 $m_{S_1} > m_h/2$: less freedom but still new solutions: Higgs mediated coannihilation + sign of hS_3S_3 coupling Direct Detection:

DM

Indirect Detection:

DM Detection Experiments

10.6 10-25 10-7 10-8 10-26 10-9 10.10 $[s]{10^{-27}}$ $[b]{10^{-28}}$ $[c]{10^{-28}}$ $[c]{10^{-29}}$ $[c]{10^{-29}}$ 10-11 10-12 10⁻¹² [4] 10⁻¹³ N 10⁻¹⁴ S 10⁻¹⁵ 10-30 10⁻¹⁵ 10⁻³⁷ Β1 A1 B1 C1 10⁻³¹ (2016)10-38 NON1T 10-32 Fermi-LAT (bb) v scattering -----10-33 10-39 40 50 60 70 80 90 50 40 60 70 80 90 m_{S1} [GeV] mS1 [GeV]

Case **A1**: mostly excluded (large $g_{S_1S_1h}$)

Cases **B1** and **C1**: mostly within the limits

Access to region with very small $g_{S_1S_1h}$ \Rightarrow not excluded by DM detection limits

DM

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

16/23

LHC constraints

$$\begin{split} & \text{Higgs invisible decays} \\ & \Gamma(h \to S_1 S_1) = \frac{g_{S_1 S_1 h}^2 v^2}{32\pi m_h} \left(1 - \frac{4m_{S_1}^2}{m_h^2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \text{Br}(h \to \text{inv}) = \frac{\Gamma(h \to S_1 S_1)}{\Gamma_h^{\text{SM}} + \Gamma(h \to S_1 S_1)} \\ & \text{Higgs total decay} \\ & \mu_{tot} = \frac{\text{BR}(h \to XX)}{\text{BR}(h_{\text{SM}} \to XX)} = \frac{\Gamma_{tot}^{SM}(h)}{\Gamma_{tot}^{SM}(h) + \Gamma^{inert}(h)} \\ & \text{LHC limits} \\ & \mu_{tot} = 1.17 \pm 0.17 \text{ and } \text{Br}(h \to \text{inv}) < 0.2 \end{split}$$



LHC constraints



In general: scenarios of type C are the least constrained.

7.4	[of	n t	
IVI		au	11

Conclusions and Outlook

- **3HDM** with Z_2 symmetry: I(2+1)HDM
- viable DM candidate
- large dark sector: important coannhibition effects in $\Omega_{DM}h^2$

 \rightarrow varying strength of gauge-inert couplings

 \rightarrow new regions in agreement with Planck

• agreement with direct and indirect detection limits:

 $45\,{\rm GeV} \lesssim m_{S_1} \lesssim 62.5\,{\rm GeV},\, 64\,{\rm GeV} \lesssim m_{S_1} \lesssim 74\,{\rm GeV},\, m_{S_1} \gtrsim 400\,{\rm GeV}$

 \rightarrow as long as DM is practically invisible

 \rightarrow other detections prospects? LHC?

Motivation	I(2+1)HDM	DM	LHC	Conclusion

Inert cascade decays at the LHC

work in progress

$$pp \rightarrow Z \rightarrow S_{2,3,4}S_1 \rightarrow S_1S_1Z^* \rightarrow S_1S_1e^+e^-$$



- signature: missing E_T and dilepton pair
- dominant if there is a **large mass splitting** between DM and other inert particles
- process present in the IDM (through HAZ vertex)
- note: possible differences with respect to the IDM due to varying strength of S_1S_jZ vertex $\langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Box \rangle \langle \Xi \rangle$

Motivation	I(2+1)HDM	DM	LHC	Conclusion
	Inert cascade de	cays at the LH	ЧС	

work in progress



- signature: missing E_T and dilepton pair
- important if there is a **small mass splitting** between DM and other inert particles → scenario C is preferred anyway
- process absent in the IDM (no $A \to H\gamma^*$ loop)
- promising preliminary results $\sigma \sim 10^{-5}$ pb

DM

LHC

Conclusion

DM self-couplings

Dark self-couplings – no impact on standard DM and LHC phenomenology

- In the I(1+1)HDM λ_2
- In the I(2+1)HDM $\lambda_1, \lambda_{11,22,12}, \lambda'_{12}$
- Possible relevant corrections to loop processes, e.g.:



D – DM matter, D^\pm – charged dark scalar

• Astrophysical DM detection experiments:

DM very weakly coupled to the visible sector

 \Rightarrow loop corrections can be important!

(□) (部) (注) (注) (注) (21/23)

Motivation	I(2+1)HDM	DM	LHC	Conclusion

Scalar DM models:

- interesting phenomenology
- strong constraints on g_{DMh} from DM experiments
- need to move away from Higgs-portal
- solution: rich particle spectrum & coannihilation effects
- interesting LHC signatures a tool for testing DM models?

22/23

- loop processes & role of self-couplings can be important
- Further work needed!

Motivation	I(2+1)HDM	DM	LHC	Conclusion

• Higgs-portal DM models

[B. Patt and F. Wilczek, hep-ph/0605188, X. Chu, T. Hambye, and M. H. Tytgat, JCAP 1205 (2012) 034, A. Djouadi, O. Lebedev, Y. Mambrini, and J. Quevillon, Phys.Lett. B709 (2012) 65-69]

• 3HDM

[V. Keus, S. King, S. Moretti JHEP 1401 (2014) 052, arXiv:1408.0796; V. Keus, S. F. King,
S. Moretti and D. Sokolowska, JHEP 1411 (2014) 016, JHEP 1511, 003 (2015)]

• Experimental constraints

[ATLAS and CMS collaborations, JHEP 08 (2016) 045; http://lux.brown.edu/LUX_dark_matter/Talks_ files/LUX_NewDarkMatterSearchResult_332LiveDays_IDM2016_160721.pdf("Dark-matter results from 332 new live days of LUX data, Identification of Dark Matter, The University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK, 21 July, 2016"), M. Ackermann et al. [Fermi-LAT Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. 115 (2015) 23, 231301, XENON1T Collaboration, Springer Proc. Phys. 148 (2013) 93]

Numerical Tools

[LanHEP, arXiv:1412.5016 [physics.comp-ph]; CalcHEP 3.4, Comput. Phys. Commun. 184 (2013) 1729; micrOMEGAs 4.2 arXiv:1407.6129 [hep-ph]]

BACKUP SLIDES

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆目≯ ◆日≯ 三日日 のへで

24/23

Heavy DM



25/23

- $g_{S_1S_1h}$ in Case G > $g_{S_1S_1h}$ Case H
- The same behaviour in both cases
- Lower m_{S_1} for Case G
- Not really different from the CPc case

Direct Detection





- in agreement with LUX
- within the reach of XENON-1T
- case G (bigger couplings) easier to see/exclude than case H (smaller couplings)

Mass formulas

$$\begin{split} m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2 &= (-\mu_2^2 - |\mu_{12}^2|) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{23}v^2, \quad m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 = (-\mu_2^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|) + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_{23}v^2. \\ m_{S_1}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) - \Lambda - \mu_2^2, \\ m_{S_2}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) + \Lambda - \mu_2^2, \\ m_{S_3}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) - \Lambda' - \mu_2^2, \\ m_{S_4}^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2}(\lambda'_{23} + \lambda_{23}) + \Lambda' - \mu_2^2, \\ \Lambda &= \sqrt{v^4 |\lambda_2|^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|^2 - 2v^2 |\lambda_2| |\mu_{12}^2| \cos(\theta_{12} + \theta_2)}, \\ \Lambda' &= \sqrt{v^4 |\lambda_2|^2 + |\mu_{12}^2|^2 + 2v^2 |\lambda_2| |\mu_{12}^2| \cos(\theta_{12} + \theta_2)}. \end{split}$$

$$\alpha = \frac{-|\mu_{12}^2|\cos\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\cos\theta_2 - \Lambda}{|\mu_{12}^2|\sin\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\sin\theta_2}, \qquad \beta = \frac{|\mu_{12}^2|\cos\theta_{12} + v^2|\lambda_2|\cos\theta_2 - \Lambda'}{|\mu_{12}^2|\sin\theta_{12} - v^2|\lambda_2|\sin\theta_2}.$$

Physical Basis

$$\begin{split} |\mu_{12}^2| &= \frac{1}{2} (m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 - m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2), \\ \lambda_{23} &= \frac{2\mu_2^2}{v^2} + \frac{m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 + m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2}{v^2}, \\ \lambda'_{23} &= \frac{1}{v^2} (m_{S_2}^2 + m_{S_1}^2 - m_{S_2^{\pm}}^2 - m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2), \\ \mu_2^2 &= \frac{v^2}{2} g_{S_1S_1h} - \frac{v^2 |\lambda_2|}{2(1+\alpha^2)} \left(4\alpha \sin \theta_2 + 2(\alpha^2 - 1) \cos \theta_2 \right) - \frac{m_{S_2}^2 + m_{S_1}^2}{2}, \\ |\lambda_2| &= \frac{1}{v^2} \left[|\mu_{12}^2| \cos(\theta_2 + \theta_{12}) + \frac{(m_{S_2}^2 - m_{S_1^{\pm}}^2)^2 - |\mu_{12}^2|^2}{2} \right]. \end{split}$$

▲□▶ < 圕▶ < 틥▶ < 틥▶ < 틥▷ < 틥▷ < □≥ < ○
28 / 23

DM annihilation diagrams



4 ロ ト () + () -

DM annihilation diagrams - gauge limit

Heavy DM (co)annihilation diagrams with pure gauge boson final states:



DM annihilation diagrams



Heavy DM (co)annhilation channels involving the SM-like Higgs boson:

4回 ト 4 日 ト 4 日 ト 4 日 ト 4 日 ト 9 Q C 31 / 23

Relic density



Higgs-inert couplings



4 ロ ト 4 日 ト 4 目 ト 4 目 や 9 Q ペ 33 / 23