### Constraints on Relaxion Window

#### Sang Hui Im PLANCK 2017, May 24th 2017, Warsaw

Kiwoon Choi, SHI: 1610.00680 [JHEP 1612:093 (2016)]



# Outline

- Relaxation of the Electroweak scale
  - : Axion scale hierarchy problem
- Large number of e-folding
  - : Cosmological Relaxion Window
- Low energy phenomenology with minimal couplings
  - : Constraints on Relaxion Window
- Conclusions

### Relaxation of the Electroweak scale

Graham, Kaplan, Rajendran, '15



$$V(\phi) = V_0(\phi) + V_b(\phi) = \frac{c_0}{16\pi^2} \Lambda_{\rm SM}^4 \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f_L}\right) + \Lambda_b^4(h) \cos\left(\frac{\phi}{f_S} + \delta_b\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} = 0 \quad \blacktriangleright \quad \left(\frac{f_L}{f_S}\right) \sim \frac{c_0}{16\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^4}{\Lambda_b^4(h=v)} \frac{1}{\sin(\phi/f_S+\delta_b)} \gtrsim \frac{1}{(4\pi)^3} \frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^4}{v^4} \sim \left(10^3 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}}{10 \,{\rm TeV}}\right)^4\right)$$

In order to stabilise the relaxion at the point  $\langle h \rangle = v = 246$  GeV, the ratio between the two periodicities  $f_L$  and  $f_S$  must be larger than the above ratio.



#### Cosmological relaxion evolution : Long e-folding

The relaxion kinetic energy should be efficiently dissipated away to stop the relaxion before going into the global minimum.



If the relaxion dynamics occurs during inflation, **the Hubble friction** can be responsible for the dissipation.

- Slow roll :  $H_I > \frac{\Lambda_b^2}{f_S} \sim m_\phi$
- Inflaton energy density > Relaxion energy density :  $H_I^2 M_{\rm Pl}^2 > \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \Lambda_{\rm SM}^4$

$$\bigwedge \mathcal{N} \sim \frac{f_L}{\dot{\phi}} H_I \sim 16\pi^2 \frac{f_L^2 H_I^2}{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^4} \gtrsim \max\left[\frac{f_L}{f_S} \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda_b^2}{v^2}\right), \frac{f_L^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2}\right] > \left[\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^4}{v^4} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}}{1\,{\rm TeV}}\right)^4\right]$$
sessary number of

Necessary numb e-folding Necessary e-folding for the QCD barrier

٠

$$\frac{f_L}{f_S} \sim \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^4}{\Lambda_b^4} \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda_b^2}{v^2}\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{N} \gtrsim \max\left[\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^4}{\Lambda_b^4}, \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2} \frac{f_S^2}{M_{\rm Pl}^2} \frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}^8}{\Lambda_b^8}\right] \left(1 + \frac{\Lambda_b^2}{v^2}\right)^2$$

$$\text{Barrier from QCD} \qquad \qquad \Lambda_b^4 \simeq f_\pi^2 m_\pi^2 \sim (0.1 \,\text{GeV})^4$$

$$\mathcal{N}_{\rm QCD} \gtrsim \max\left[\frac{10^{24}}{(10^{24})} \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}}{1 \,\text{TeV}}\right)^4, 10^{19} \left(\frac{f_S}{10^9 \,\text{GeV}}\right)^2 \left(\frac{\Lambda_{\rm SM}}{1 \,\text{TeV}}\right)^8\right] \left(\frac{10^{-10}}{\theta_{\rm QCD}}\right)$$

$$H_I < V'(\phi)^{1/3} < \left(\frac{\Lambda_b}{f_S}\right)^{1/3} \Lambda_b < \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{4\pi}v)$$
 Low scale inflation

Such a long e-folding in a low scale inflation would imply a fine-tuning on the inflaton sector. For a natural scenario, we need a new physics for the barrier sector.

For the relation of the mass from  $\mathcal{O}(M_{1/4})$ to the weak scale. For the case that the barries for the barries of the barri this is estimated as  $M_{\rm NP} \sim \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}} \sim \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad f \gtrsim 4^{\rm M} \, {\rm M}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad f \approx 4^{\rm M} \, {\rm M}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H}} \sim 10^{4} \, {\rm GeV}_{\rm P}^{\rm H}, \quad \frac{1}{M_{\rm P}^{\rm H$ POOMS where we use Although not being a mig from any gument, it is likely that  $\frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{2b}}$  that  $\frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{2b}}$  that  $\frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{2b}}$  that  $\frac{1}{M_{\text{Pl}}^{2b}}$  is ger (Vi Wi the set bigger (Vi the set bigger (Vi Wi the set bigger (Vi than  $10^{26}$  causes a severe fine-tuning problem in the inflaton sector [28–30]. To avoid this TeV i (al consistent  $f^2 M^4 H_1^2$   $M^4 H_1^2$   $M^4 f^2 M^4 H_1^4$   $M^4 f^2 M^4 H_1^4$ potential model in the formula we will focus on the whether the the bary potential  $M_{(18)}$  (10<sup>2</sup> GeV) (10<sup>4</sup> is generated by new physics, which allows further diverges on the synapped problem in the new physics sector to generate  $\Lambda_b$  and  $\Lambda_b$ Requiring  $\mathcal{N}_{NP} < \mathcal{N}_{e}$  for  $\mathcal{M}_{e}$  ( $\mathcal{M}_{b}$ ) and  $\mathcal{M}_{b}$  is the function of the transferrance corresponded to the cosmological relation of the transferrance for the new physics sector to generate the transferrance for bound ( translated to min our as the first state of the transfer the barrier weight of the and the relaxion condition  $M_{0}$  is used together with  $H_{1}$  and  $M_{0}$ ,  $M_{1}$ ,  $M_{$ we have the didded, the probability of the probability of the parties height  $\Lambda_{2}$  and the relaxion decay contained the probability of the prob  $\frac{1}{10^4} = 10^4 = 1$  $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{f_{a}}$   $\mathcal{R}_{h}^{f$ se ash A<sup>4</sup>Since the relation relation relation of the second sector of the sector of the second sector of the sector of raise the Hiszionnais dont offealls top to for instance 10 FeV/with an inflationary e-folding  $\mathcal{M}_{\phi} \cong \mathcal{M}_{b}$  assume f > M for the theoretical consistency, and  $\Lambda_{b} \lesssim \mathcal{O}(\sqrt{4\pi}v)$  to avoid  $= \underbrace{\mathcal{O}(W)^{*}}_{A} \underbrace{M}_{A} \underbrace{M} \underbrace{M}_{A} \underbrace{M}_{A} \underbrace{M}_{A} \underbrace{M}_{A} \underbrace{M} \underbrace{M}_$ 2 above parameter range corresponds to the cosmological relaxion window for the Higgs  $\overline{eV}$  In Fig 261 we depict the cosmological relaxion window in terms of the relaxion mass  $\overline{eV}$   $M_{e}$  and the barrier height  $M_{b}$ , and the relaxion decay constant f, expressed in  $m_{\phi}$  and the relaxion decay constant f for the acceptable number of e-folding  $\mathcal{N}_{e} \gtrsim 10^{26}$ elaxional massings mass cutoff M > 1 TeV. The gray region with  $\Lambda_b > 1$  TeV is theoretically ng  $\mathcal{M}_{is} = \mathcal{M}_{is} = 0$  as it requires a fine-tuning in the new physics sector to generate the barrier

## Cosmological relaxion windows



- Λ<sub>b</sub> > 1 TeV : theoretically disfavored (naturalness bound on the barrier height)
- $N_e$  : required number of e-folding for the relaxion dynamics with the Hubble friciton
- +  $N_e \sim 10^{24}$  : lowest e-folding for the QCD barrier

 $1 \text{ TeV} < f < M_{Pl}$  $10^{-10} \text{ eV} < m_{\phi} < v_{EW}$ 

The smaller e-folding corresponds to the higher barrier.  $\Lambda_b > 30 \,\text{GeV}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{SM}}}{1 \,\text{TeV}}\right) \left(\frac{10^4}{\mathcal{N}_e}\right)^{1/4}$ 



026 (9)Stelle F, Stelle a clax-(9)be interpreted às as The particulation of the for the second second second and the second of mane with the supering of the super  $V_{as}$  at requires a the second of the second of the second se mass and also (27) $4\pi v$ als $fom_{\phi}$  $he^{\pi i \theta n}$ ibn (27)m **Ne**hh generating the oweak gauge [ante, which are espined as 27 he shirt as 27 heing the <sup>*pr*</sup> to generate  $v_b$ , and  $(13)^{-1}$  (20) <sup>2</sup>number of *e*-foldings *e*-fieldings (13) *e*-foldings (13) *e*-foldings (13) *e*-foldings (13) *e*-foldings (13) unstrained b Skinetic operator of allocations mixing with the Biggs of As me will see in model dases of our soudy the relevant same will see in model dases of our soudy the relevant same of the effective con detwe the effective con same of the effective con (13) (13) (13) (13) (28) (13) (28)ile. (20) Idings relaxion couples to plons Swe Ogidings ght a given

METERS, (31)(32) $\psi_l \psi_l$ won the inflationary Hubble scale in order primoridady in lationary Hubble to the Hubble tuatto



#### Phenomenological constraints on the relaxion windows



## Enlarged picture for the first window



•

• EDM with  $C_{\Phi \gamma} = 1$ 

• Proton EDM estimated by the QCD sum rule

 $d_p = 0.78 \, d_u(\mu_*) - 0.20 \, d_d(\mu_*)$ where  $\mu_* = 1 \text{ GeV}$ 

- NDA with s quark : an order of magnitude larger
- Storage ring experiment for proton EDM

## Conclusions

- The relaxion mechanism can explain the weak scale in a technically natural way by converting the weak scale hierarchy to the axion scale hierarchy, which can be addressed by the clockwork mechanism.
- The hierarchy is also responsible for a large number of e-folding for the relaxion dynamics with the Hubble friction.
- The cosmological relaxion window identifies the favored relaxion parameter space in terms of the necessary number of e-folding.
- The model-independent low energy relaxion phenomenology can be studied by the relaxion-Higgs mixing and relaxion-photon coupling.
- After imposing various phenomenological constraints, three distinctive windows remain viable, all of which can accommodate a relatively small number of e-folding below 10<sup>4</sup>.
- The first window (m<sub>Φ</sub> ~ 0.2 10 GeV, f ~ few 200 TeV) can be probed by future EDM experiments and CERN SHiP.