

# Warm Little Inflaton becomes Cold Dark Matter ArXiv: 1811.05493 (PRL 122, 2019)

Scalars 2019, University of Warsaw 13 September

#### Luís B. Ventura, João G. Rosa

Departamento de Física da Universidade de Aveiro and CIDMA Campus de Santiago, 3810-183 Aveiro, Portugal



# Use the inflaton field to account for the present dark matter density.

Although scalar fields are galore in unification theories, they are hard to come by in well established physical models.

So, when doing model building, if you have to add something to a model that works, you try to check if it can solve other open problems...

→ The early Universe is composed of two parts (inflaton, radiation) which do not interact with one another:

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + 3H\frac{d\phi}{dt} + \partial_{\phi}V = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{d\rho_r}{dt} + 4H\rho_r = 0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

→ The accelerated expansion then causes the dilution of the existing radiation (Cold inflation);

→ The early Universe is composed of two parts (inflaton, radiation) which do not interact with one another:

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + 3H\frac{d\phi}{dt} + \partial_{\phi}V = 0 \quad , \quad \frac{d\rho_r}{dt} + 4H\rho_r = 0 \quad , \quad (1)$$

→ The accelerated expansion then causes the dilution of the existing radiation (Cold inflation);

- → As a result, cold inflation does not end with a radiation-dominated Universe.
- → The extra mechanisms ((p)reheating) required to generate the Hot Big Bang universe usually imply the decay of the inflaton.
- → Then it cannot be dark matter!

- → As a result, cold inflation does not end with a radiation-dominated Universe.
- → The extra mechanisms ((p)reheating) required to generate the Hot Big Bang universe usually imply the decay of the inflaton.
- → Then it cannot be dark matter!

- → As a result, cold inflation does not end with a radiation-dominated Universe.
- → The extra mechanisms ((p)reheating) required to generate the Hot Big Bang universe usually imply the decay of the inflaton.
- → Then it cannot be dark matter!

Can reheating be avoided?

#### And thus, avoid the decay of the inflaton (after inflation occurs)?

- → Interactions generate an extra dissipation term in the inflaton equation of motion, affecting the dynamics;
- → Interactions sustain a non-negligible radiation density during inflation (Warm inflation);
- → Interactions can lead to a smooth transition from warm inflation to a radiation-dominated era (no reheating is necessary);

- → Interactions generate an extra dissipation term in the inflaton equation of motion, affecting the dynamics;
- → Interactions sustain a non-negligible radiation density during inflation (Warm inflation);
- → Interactions can lead to a smooth transition from warm inflation to a radiation-dominated era (no reheating is necessary);

- → Interactions generate an extra dissipation term in the inflaton equation of motion, affecting the dynamics;
- → Interactions sustain a non-negligible radiation density during inflation (Warm inflation);
- → Interactions can lead to a smooth transition from warm inflation to a radiation-dominated era (no reheating is necessary);

- → Interactions generate an extra dissipation term in the inflaton equation of motion, affecting the dynamics;
- → Interactions sustain a non-negligible radiation density during inflation (Warm inflation);
- → Interactions can lead to a smooth transition from warm inflation to a radiation-dominated era (no reheating is necessary);

There are several difficulties with doing this, but recently [Bastero-Gil et al., 2016] managed to develop a consistent particle physics model of inflation, where the inflaton interacts with only two fields and sustains a non-negligible radiation bath, by imposing symmetries.

# Field content

→ Inflaton  $\phi$ . Generated by the collective spontaneous breaking of a U(1) gauge symmetry by two complex fields  $\phi_{1,2}$  with identical charges [Bastero-Gil et al., 2016, Rosa and Ventura, 2018]:

$$\phi_1 = (M/\sqrt{2})e^{i\phi/M}$$
 ,  $\phi_2 = (M/\sqrt{2})e^{-i\phi/M}$  , (2)

 $\phi_{1,2}$  have Yukawa interactions with:

- → Fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  of mass gM, which remain light during inflation if gM < T < M. These have Yukawa interactions with:
- → Massless scalar  $\sigma$  and fermion  $\psi_{\sigma}$ .

# Field content

→ Inflaton  $\phi$ . Generated by the collective spontaneous breaking of a U(1) gauge symmetry by two complex fields  $\phi_{1,2}$  with identical charges [Bastero-Gil et al., 2016, Rosa and Ventura, 2018]:

$$\phi_1 = (M/\sqrt{2})e^{i\phi/M}$$
 ,  $\phi_2 = (M/\sqrt{2})e^{-i\phi/M}$  , (2)

 $\phi_{1,2}$  have Yukawa interactions with:

- → Fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  of mass gM, which remain light during inflation if gM < T < M. These have Yukawa interactions with:
- → Massless scalar  $\sigma$  and fermion  $\psi_{\sigma}$ .

# Field content

→ Inflaton  $\phi$ . Generated by the collective spontaneous breaking of a U(1) gauge symmetry by two complex fields  $\phi_{1,2}$  with identical charges [Bastero-Gil et al., 2016, Rosa and Ventura, 2018]:

$$\phi_1 = (M/\sqrt{2})e^{i\phi/M}$$
 ,  $\phi_2 = (M/\sqrt{2})e^{-i\phi/M}$  , (2)

 $\phi_{1,2}$  have Yukawa interactions with:

- → Fermions  $\psi_{1,2}$  of mass gM, which remain light during inflation if gM < T < M. These have Yukawa interactions with:
- → Massless scalar  $\sigma$  and fermion  $\psi_{\sigma}$ .

As a result, there is a **two-stage** mechanism: out-of-equilibrium decay of the inflaton into  $\psi_{1,2}$ , followed by decays and scatterings of these fields into  $\sigma$ ,  $\psi_{\sigma}$ :

$$\phi \to \psi_{1,2} \leftrightarrow \sigma, \ \psi_{\sigma}$$
,

which causes dissipation of the inflaton energy.

Interchange symmetry  $\phi_1 \leftrightarrow \phi_2$  composed with  $\psi_1 \leftrightarrow \psi_2$ 

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\phi\psi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g\phi_1 \overline{\psi}_{1L} \psi_{1R} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} g\phi_2 \overline{\psi}_{2L} \psi_{2R} + \text{H.c.} = gM\overline{\psi}_1 e^{i\gamma_5 \phi/M} \psi_1 + gM\overline{\psi}_2 e^{-i\gamma_5 \phi/M} \psi_2 , \qquad (3)$$

implies  $\phi \leftrightarrow -\phi$  with  $\psi_1 \leftrightarrow \psi_2$ :  $\phi$  can **only** decay directly to  $\psi_{1,2}$ . The latter decay/scatter through

$$-\mathcal{L}_{\psi\sigma} = h\sigma \sum_{i=1,2} \overline{\psi}_{iL} \psi_{\sigma R} + \overline{\psi}_{\sigma L} \psi_{iR} + \text{H.c.} , \qquad (4)$$

The inflaton potential is given by the simplest renormalizable terms,  $V(\phi) = \lambda \phi^4 + (m_{\phi}^2/2)\phi^2$ , with  $\lambda \sim 10^{-15}$  fixed by the amplitude of the CMB fluctuations [Akrami et al., 2018] and  $m_{\phi} \sim 10^{-4} - 10^{-1}$  eV by requiring that  $\phi$  accounts for all the dark matter in the Universe.

#### Equations of motion

$$\frac{d^2\phi}{dt^2} + (3H + \Upsilon)\frac{d\phi}{dt} + \partial_{\phi}V = 0 \quad , \qquad (5)$$
$$\frac{d\rho_r}{dt} + 4H\rho_r = \Upsilon\dot{\phi}^2 \quad ,$$

where *H* is the Hubble parameter  $H^2 = (\rho_{\phi} + \rho_r)/3M_{\rm P}^2$ ,  $\rho_{\phi}$  ( $\rho_r$ ) the inflaton (radiation) density and  $\Upsilon$  is the **dissipation** coefficient created by the **interaction** of the inflaton with the mediators  $\psi_{1,2}$  (check  $\Upsilon$  in [Rosa and Ventura, 2018]).

# Inflationary dynamics



**Figure 1:** Evolution of  $\phi/T$  (brown), T/H (red),  $\Gamma_{\psi}/H$  (orange),  $Q \equiv \Upsilon/3H$  (green),  $\epsilon_H \equiv -\dot{H}/H^2$  (purple),  $\rho_r/\rho_{\phi}$  (cyan) and T/M (red, bottom plot) during inflation. Check details in Fig. 2 of [Rosa and Ventura, 2018].

# Cosmological evolution



Figure 2: Cosmological evolution of the inflaton (solid blue line) and radiation (dashed red line) energy densities for a representative choice of parameters. The vertical dashed black lines mark the inflaton-radiation equality times at the end of inflation and of the radiation era. Check details Fig. 1 of [Rosa and Ventura, 2018].

- → Inflationary constraints;
- → CDM isocurvature perturbations;
- → Inflaton mass;
- → Extra relativistic degrees of freedom during BBN but not during recombination;

#### Inflationary constraints



**Figure 3:** Observables for the quartic model. The star marks the  $\lambda \phi^4$  in cold inflation ( $Q_* = 0$ ). The Planck 2015 68% and 95% C.L. contours are shown in gray. Modified from [Bastero-Gil et al., 2016].

#### CDM isocurvature perturbations



$$\begin{split} \beta_{\rm Iso}^{\rm Planck} &< 2\times 10^{-3} \quad [{\rm Akrami}~{\rm et~al.},~{\rm 2018}] \\ \beta_{\rm Iso} &= [3,4]\times 10^{-4} \quad, \end{split}$$

Figure 4: Adiabatic and isocurvature perturbations generated during inflation.

For Figs. 1 and 2 ,  $\beta_{\rm Iso} = 3.15 \times 10^{-4}$ .

Determined from the correct dark matter abundance today,  $\Omega_{\rm DM}\approx 0.25$  [Aghanim et al., 2018]

$$m_{\phi} = 10^{-4} - 10^{-1} \,\mathrm{eV}$$
 , (6)

For Figs. 1 and 2 ,  $m_{\phi} = 2.7 \times 10^{-3}$  eV. Due to this mass, the inflaton behaves as **dark radiation** during BBN.

BSM radiation affects the synthesis of light elements (BBN), so that  $\Delta N_{\rm eff}$  is constrained [Cyburt et al., 2016]

$$\Delta N_{\rm eff} < 0.20 \quad , \tag{7}$$

For Figs. 1 and 2,  $\Delta N_{\text{eff}} = 0.13$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Inflationary constraints;
- → CDM isocurvature perturbations;
- → Inflaton mass;
- → Extra relativistic degrees of freedom during BBN but not during recombination;

#### Conclusions

- → Dissipation modifies the inflationary dynamics. It maintains a non-negligible ρ<sub>r</sub> during inflation and causes a smooth transition to a radiation-dominated era;
- → The model's symmetries (imposed for inflationary consistency) combined with the particular dynamics of warm inflation naturally lead to inflaton dark matter;
- $\rightarrow$  The latter leads to plethora of observable consequences.

#### Conclusions

- → Dissipation modifies the inflationary dynamics. It maintains a non-negligible ρ<sub>r</sub> during inflation and causes a smooth transition to a radiation-dominated era;
- → The model's symmetries (imposed for inflationary consistency) combined with the particular dynamics of warm inflation naturally lead to inflaton dark matter;
- $\rightarrow$  The latter leads to plethora of observable consequences.

#### Conclusions

- → Dissipation modifies the inflationary dynamics. It maintains a non-negligible ρ<sub>r</sub> during inflation and causes a smooth transition to a radiation-dominated era;
- → The model's symmetries (imposed for inflationary consistency) combined with the particular dynamics of warm inflation naturally lead to inflaton dark matter;
- → The latter leads to plethora of observable consequences.

Many thanks to



for the support! And to the organizers of Scalars 2019!

- Aghanim, N. et al. (2018). Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters.
- Akrami, Y. et al. (2018). Planck 2018 results. X. Constraints on inflation.
- Bastero-Gil, M., Berera, A., Ramos, R. O., and Rosa, J. G. (2016).

Warm Little Inflaton. Physical Review Letters, 117(15):6–11.

- Cyburt, R. H., Fields, B. D., Olive, K. A., and Yeh, T.-H. (2016).
  Big Bang Nucleosynthesis: 2015.
  Rev. Mod. Phys., 88:015004.

Rosa, J. G. and Ventura, L. B. (2018). Warm Little Inflaton becomes Cold Dark Matter.