The post-Higgs MSSM scenario



Abdelhak DJOUADI (CNRS – Université Paris-sud)

The Higgs sector of the MSSM
 Implications from the Higgs mass
 Implications from the Higgs properties
 Implications from other Higgs searches
 What next?

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.1/21

1. The MSSM Higgs sector

We have observed a Higgs particle with a mass in the expected range: ${
m M_{H}}=92^{+34}_{-26}$ GeV was expected $M_{
m h}\!pprox\!125$ GeV is measured. (no twin peaks anymore... yes?) **Production rates compatible with** with those expected in the SM: fit of all the LHC Higgs data \Rightarrow agreement at the 20–30% level: $\mu_{
m tot}^{
m ATLAS} \!=\! 1.30 \!\pm\! 0.30$ $\mu_{ ext{tot}}^{ ext{CMS}}=~0.87\pm0.23$ combined: $\mu_{\rm tot}^{\rm lHC}\simeq 1$.

No other new particle observed: no other Higgs particle seen, no SUSY, KK, etc... new state... looks like standardissimo, no?

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014



The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.2/21

1. The MSSM Higgs sector

Maybe we have the theory of everything?

renormalisable, unitary, perturbative, ...
extrapolable to the hightest possible scale (EW vacuum (meta)stable up to Planck scale).
Very successful in describing present data (with all problems disappearing one by one).

It requires some extensions through...

- dark matter: maybe Peccei–Quinn axion?
- neutrino masses, baryon asymmetry,
- gauge coupling unification problem:

fixed in SO(10) with $M_{\rm inter}\approx 10^{11}~GeV?$

Remains only the "mother of all problems":

hierarchy problem calls for beyond the SM. Three most discussed beyond SM scenarii:

- spin-zero Higgs = bound-state => Technicolor: in "mortuary"?
- cut–off at TeV scale \Rightarrow extra space-time dimensions: in "hospital"?
- new protecting symmetry \Rightarrow Supersymmetry: in "trouble"?

Here, I discuss the example of Supersymmetry and stick to MSSM.PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.3/21





1. The Higgs sector MSSM

 $\begin{array}{l} -\text{In the MSSM we need two Higgs doublets } H_1 = \begin{pmatrix} H_1^0 \\ H_1^- \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } H_2 = \begin{pmatrix} H_2^+ \\ H_2^0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \text{to generate up/down-type fermion masses while having chiral anomalies.} \\ \text{after EWSB, three dof for } W_L^\pm, Z_L \Rightarrow \text{5 physical states: } h, H, A, H^\pm. \\ \text{Only two free parameters at tree-level to describe the system } \tan\beta, M_A: \\ M_{h,H}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ M_A^2 + M_Z^2 \mp [(M_A^2 + M_Z^2)^2 - 4M_A^2M_Z^2\cos^2 2\beta]^{1/2} \right\} \\ M_{H^\pm}^2 = M_A^2 + M_W^2 \\ \tan 2\alpha = \frac{-(M_A^2 + M_Z^2)\sin 2\beta}{(M_Z^2 - M_A^2)\cos 2\beta} = \tan 2\beta \frac{M_A^2 + M_Z^2}{M_A^2 - M_Z^2} \quad (-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \alpha \le 0) \\ M_h \lesssim M_Z |\cos 2\beta| + RC \lesssim 130 \ \text{GeV}, \ M_H \approx M_A \approx M_{H^\pm} \lesssim M_{EWSB}. \end{array}$

 \bullet Couplings of h,H to VV are suppressed; no AVV couplings (CP).

• For $an\!eta \gg 1$: couplings to b (t) quarks enhanced (suppressed).

In decoupling limit: MSSM Higgs sector reduces to SM with a light h.

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.4/21

1. The Higgs sector MSSM

Life is more complicated and radiative corrections have to be included. The CP-even Higgses described by 2×2 matrix including corrections:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}^{\mathbf{2}} = \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{Z}}^{\mathbf{2}} \begin{pmatrix} c_{\beta}^{2} & -s_{\beta}c_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta}c_{\beta} & s_{\beta}^{2} \end{pmatrix} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}}^{\mathbf{2}} \begin{pmatrix} s_{\beta}^{2} & -s_{\beta}c_{\beta} \\ -s_{\beta}c_{\beta} & c_{\beta}^{2} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \Delta \mathcal{M}_{11}^{2} & \Delta \mathcal{M}_{12}^{2} \\ \Delta \mathcal{M}_{12}^{2} & \Delta \mathcal{M}_{22}^{2} \end{pmatrix}$$

and the two Higgs masses and the mixing angle
$$\alpha$$
 are given by:

$$M_{h/H}^2 = \frac{1}{2} \left(M_A^2 + M_Z^2 + C_+ \mp \sqrt{M_A^4 + M_Z^4 - 2M_A^2 M_Z^2 c_{4\beta} + C} \right)$$

$$\alpha = \frac{2\Delta \mathcal{M}_{12}^2 - (M_A^2 + M_Z^2) s_{\beta}}{C_- + (M_Z^2 - M_A^2) c_{2\beta} + \sqrt{M_A^4 + M_Z^4 - 2M_A^2 M_Z^2 c_{4\beta} + C}}$$

with

The

$$egin{aligned} & C_\pm = \Delta \mathcal{M}_{11}^2 \pm \Delta \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 \ & C = 4\Delta \mathcal{M}_{12}^4 + C_-^2 - 2(M_A^2 - M_Z^2)C_-c_{2\beta} - 4(M_A^2 + M_Z^2)\Delta \mathcal{M}_{12}^2s_{2\beta} \ & \text{The dominant corrections come from stop/top sector with a leading term:} \end{aligned}$$

 $\Delta \mathcal{M}_{11/12}^2 \sim 0 \;,\; \Delta \mathcal{M}_{22}^2 \sim \epsilon = rac{3 \, ar{\mathbf{m}}_t^4}{2 \pi^2 \mathbf{v}^2 \sin^2 eta} \left[\log rac{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{S}}^2}{ar{\mathbf{m}}_t^2} + rac{\mathbf{X}_t^2}{\mathbf{M}_{\mathrm{S}}^2} \left(1 - rac{\mathbf{X}_t^2}{12 \, \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{S}}^2}
ight)
ight]$ still a simple picture but with a few additional parameters $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{t}}$...

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014 The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.5/21

The mass value 126 GeV is rather large for the MSSM h boson, \Rightarrow one needs from the very beginning to almost maximize it... Maximizing M_h is maximizing the radiative corrections; at 1-loop:

$$\mathrm{M_h} \stackrel{\mathrm{M_A} \gg \mathrm{M_Z}}{
ightarrow} \mathrm{M_Z} |\mathrm{cos} 2 eta| + rac{3 ar{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{t}}^4}{2 \pi^2 \mathrm{v}^2 \mathrm{sin}^2 \, eta} \left| \ \log rac{\mathrm{M_S}^2}{ar{\mathrm{m}}_{\mathrm{t}}^2} + rac{\mathrm{X_t}^2}{\mathrm{M_S}^2} igg(1 - rac{\mathrm{X_t}^2}{12 \mathrm{M_S}^2}igg)
ight|$$

- decoupling regime with $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{A}} \sim \mathcal{O}$ (TeV);
- large values of tan $eta\gtrsim 10$ to maximize tree-level value;
- maximal mixing scenario: $\mathbf{X_t} = \mathbf{A_t} \mu \mathbf{cot}eta = \sqrt{6}\mathbf{M_S}$;

$$\bullet$$
 heavy stops, i.e. large $M_{S}\!=\!\sqrt{m_{\tilde{t}_{1}}m_{\tilde{t}_{2}}}$.

We choose at maximum $M_{
m S}\!\lesssim\!3$ TeV, not to have too much fine-tuning....

- Do the complete job: two-loop corrections and full SUSY spectrum.
- Use RGE code (Suspect) with RC in DR/compare with FeynHiggs (OS). Perform a full scan of phenomenological MSSM with 22 free parameters:
 - determine regions of parameter space where $123\!\leq\!M_h\leq\!129\,{ extsf{GeV}}$
- (3 GeV uncertainty includes both "experimental" and "theoretical" error);
- require h to be SM–like: $\sigma(h) \times BR(h) \approx H_{SM}$ ($H = H_{SM}$) later).

Many anlayses! Here, the one from Arbey et al. 1112.3028+1207.1348.

Main results:

- \bullet Large $M_{\mathbf{S}}$ values needed:
- $M_{\mathbf{S}} pprox 1$ TeV: only maximal mixing,
- $M_{\rm S}\approx 3$ TeV: only typical mixing.
- Large tan β values are favored, but tan $\beta\!\approx\!3$ possible if $M_{\rm S}\!\approx\!3$ TeV.

How light sparticles can be with the constraint $M_h=126$ GeV? • 1s/2s gen. \tilde{q} should be heavy... But not main player here: the stops: $\Rightarrow m_{\tilde{t}_1} \lesssim 500$ GeV still possible (and compatible with direct limits). • M_1, M_2 and μ unconstrained, • non-univ. $m_{\tilde{f}}$: decouple $\tilde{\ell}$ from \tilde{q} . EW sparticles can be still very light but watch out the new LHC limits..





PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.7/21

Constrained MSSMs are interesting from model building point of view:

- concrete schemes: SSB occurs in hidden sector $\stackrel{\text{gravity},..}{\longrightarrow}$ MSSM fields,
- provide solutions to many problems in general MSSM: CP, flavor, CCB,...
- parameters obey boundary conditions \Rightarrow small number of basic inputs.
 - mSUGRA: aneta , $extbf{m_{1/2}}$, $extbf{m_0}$, $extbf{A_0}$, $extbf{sign}(\mu)$
 - GMSB: $\tan\beta$, $\operatorname{sign}(\mu)$, \mathbf{M}_{mes} , $\mathbf{\Lambda}_{\text{SSB}}$, $\mathbf{N}_{\text{mess fields}}$
 - AMSB:, $\mathbf{m_0}$, $\mathbf{m_{3/2}}$, $\mathbf{tan}eta$, $\mathbf{sign}(\mu)$

full scans of the model parameters with $123~GeV \le M_h \le 129~GeV$.



-As the scale ${
m M_S}$ seems to be large, consider two extreme possibilities.

• Split SUSY: allow fine-tuning: scalars (including H_2) at high scale gauginos-higgsinos at weak scale (unification+DM solutions still OK).

 $\mathbf{M_h} \propto \log(\mathbf{M_S}/\mathbf{m_t}) \Rightarrow$ larger.

• SUSY broken at the GUT scale: give up fine-tuning and everything else still, $\lambda \propto M_{H}^{2}$ related to gauge cplgs $\lambda(\tilde{m}) = \frac{g_{1}^{2}(\tilde{m}) + g_{2}^{2}(\tilde{m})}{8}(1 + \delta_{\tilde{m}})$... leading to $M_{H} = 120 - 140$ GeV ... In both cases small $tan\beta$ are needed. note 1: $tan\beta \approx 1$ still possible, note 2: M_{S} large but not M_{A} possible!?

Consider general MSSM with $an\!etapprox 1!$



PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.9/21

In principle, once the angles eta and lpha known, all h couplings are fixed: MSSM: $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{v}}^{\mathbf{0}} = \sin(\beta - \alpha)$, $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{t}}^{\mathbf{0}} = \cos\alpha/\sin\beta$, $\mathbf{c}_{\mathbf{b}}^{\mathbf{0}} = -\sin\alpha/\cos\beta$ if only radiative corrections to masses $\mathbf{M_{h/H}}$ and lpha taken into account. However also direct/vertex corrections have to be included! \Rightarrow Figure The two important SUSY (QCD) corrections affect the t,b couplings: $\mathbf{c_b} \approx \mathbf{c_b^0} \times [\mathbf{1} - \frac{\mathbf{\Delta_b}}{\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{\Delta_b}} \times (\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{cot}\alpha\mathbf{cot}\beta)]$ with $\tan \alpha \xrightarrow{\mathbf{M_A} \gg \mathbf{M_Z}} \frac{\mathbf{1}}{\tan \beta}$ $\mathbf{c_t} \approx \mathbf{c_t^0} \times [\mathbf{1} + \frac{\mathbf{m_t^2}}{4\mathbf{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2 m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2}} (\mathbf{m_{\tilde{t}_1}^2} + \mathbf{m_{\tilde{t}_2}^2} - (\mathbf{A_t} - \mu \mathbf{cot}\alpha)(\mathbf{A_t} + \mu \mathbf{tan}\alpha))]$ • $\mathbf{c}_{ au}, \mathbf{c_c}$ and $\mathbf{c_t}$ from $\mathbf{p}\mathbf{p} \to \mathbf{H}\mathbf{t}\mathbf{t}$ do not involve same vertex corrections. • gg
ightarrow h process has $ilde{\mathbf{t}}, \mathbf{b}$ loops and $\mathbf{h}
ightarrow \gamma\gamma$ has also $ilde{ au}$ and $\chi^{\pm}_{\mathbf{i}}$ loops. In general case, we need (at least) 7 couplings $c_g, c_\gamma, c_t, c_b, c_c, c_\tau, c_V.$ (not to mention the invisible Higgs decay width that enters all BRs...) 8 parameters fit difficult! Simpler to make reasonable approximations: \bullet low sensitivity on $h\to c\bar{c}$, $h\to \tau\tau$ and $pp\to ttH$ at the LHC.... • in ${f h} o \gamma\gamma$ additional ${f b}, ilde{ au}, \chi_1^\pm$ contributions smaller than those of ${f \widetilde{t}}$. $\Rightarrow \text{assume } c_{\mathbf{c}} = c_{\mathbf{t}}, c_{\tau} = c_{\mathbf{b}} \text{ and } c_{\mathbf{t}}(ttH) = c_{\mathbf{t}}(ggF), c_{\gamma} \approx c_{\mathbf{g}} \approx c_{\mathbf{t}}:$ reduce the problem to a fit of three couplings: c_{V}, c_{b}, c_{t} .

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014 The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.10/21

Adapt the SM Higgs rates to that of h close to the decoupling limit... Main Higgs production channels: Higg decays branching ratios:



$gg \rightarrow h$ by far dominant process proceeds via heavy quark loops!



 $\begin{array}{l} -\mathbf{h}\rightarrow b\bar{\mathbf{b}}\approx 60\% \text{: dominant} \\ -\mathbf{h}\rightarrow cc, \tau\tau, gg \!=\! \mathcal{O}(few\,\%) \\ -\mathbf{h}\rightarrow \gamma\gamma, \mathbf{Z}\mathbf{Z}^*\rightarrow 4\ell^\pm \propto 10^{-3} \\ \text{main points besides } \alpha, \beta \Rightarrow \\ \text{change in } \mathbf{h}\rightarrow b\bar{\mathbf{b}} \text{ drastic,} \\ \text{more loops in } \mathbf{h}\rightarrow gg, \gamma\gamma \text{...} \end{array}$

 \Rightarrow general MSSM at LHC is described by M_{h} and $c_{V},c_{t},c_{b}.$

3-dimensional fit in $[c_t, c_b, c_V]$ space: AD, Maiani, Polosa, Quevillon, Riquer

- ATLAS+CMS 2013 data for signal strengths in all channels;
- consider the (\approx 15–20%) theory uncertainty as a bias not nuisance;
- use ratios of signal strengths where theory uncertainty cancels out.



 1σ 3-dimension fit 3σ 3-dimension fit(3 regions for central and two extreme choices of the theory prediction).Best-fit value: $c_t = 0.894$, $c_b = 1.007$, $c_V = 1.02$ with χ^2 =64.80 (71).PASCOS-Warsaw 23/06/2014The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.12/21

Now back to MSSM relations and make a 2–dimensional fit for simplicity (the assumption is that there is no direct correction and one c_i is fixed).



 $(c_t\!=\!0.9, c_V\!=\!1.0), \quad (c_b\!=\!0.97, c_V\!=\!1.0), \quad (c_t\!=\!0.89, c_b\!=\!0.97).$

are now the best-fit points; combining the three possible cases, one has:

aneta=1 and $\mathbf{M_A}=\mathbf{560}$ GeV

which, with $M_h = 125$ GeV implies $M_H = 580$ GeV, $M_{H^\pm} = 563$ GeV. But the minimum is flat and many points (with high $\tan \beta$) are also OK... PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014 The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.13/21

-Signal strengths and ratios fit turned in a $[{f tan}eta,{f M_A}]$ constraint...



AD, Maiani, Moreau, Polosa, Quevillon, Riquer.

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.14/21

Besides superparticles, the heavier H/A/H $^\pm$ states can also be produced.







What is different in MSSM

- All work for CP–even h,H bosons.
- in ΦV , $qq\Phi$ h/H complementary
- additional mechanism: qq ightarrow A+h/H
- ullet For $\mathbf{gg}
 ightarrow \mathbf{\Phi}$ and $\mathbf{pp}
 ightarrow \mathbf{QQ} \mathbf{\Phi}$
- include the contr. of b-quarks
- dominant contr. at high tan β !
- For pseudoscalar A boson:
- CP: no ΦA and qqA processes
- $gg \rightarrow A$ and $pp \rightarrow bbA$ dominant.
- For charged Higgs boson:
- $M_{H} \lesssim m_{t}$: $pp \to t \overline{t}$ with $t \to H^{+}b$
- $M_{\mathbf{H}}\gtrsim m_{\mathbf{t}}$: continuum $pp\rightarrow t\overline{b}H^{-}$

At high tan β values:

– h as in SM with $M_{\rm h}\!=\!11\underline{5}\!-\!130\text{GeV}$

– dominant channel: $\mathbf{gg}, \mathbf{b}\mathbf{\overline{b}} \! \rightarrow \! \mathbf{\Phi} \! \rightarrow \! \tau \tau$

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.15/21

MSSM Higgs detection modes: General features for h/H/A/H $^{\pm}$ ullet \mathbf{h} : same as \mathbf{H}_{SM} in general (especially in decoupling limit). • A: only $b\bar{b}, \tau^+\tau^-$, $t\bar{t}$ decays (no VV decays, hZ suppressed). • H: same as A in general as WW, ZZ, hh modes suppressed. • \mathbf{H}^{\pm} : au
u and tb decays (depending if $M_{\mathrm{H}^\pm} < \mathrm{or} > m_{\mathrm{t}}$). loop decays strongly suppressed – possible new effects from SUSY!? For tan $\beta \gg 1$, only decays intob/ τ : BR: $\Phi \rightarrow b\bar{b} \approx 90\%$, $\Phi \rightarrow \tau \tau \approx 10\%$ For tan $\beta \approx 1$, other good channels: $\mathbf{H/A}
ightarrow \mathbf{tt}, \mathbf{H}
ightarrow \mathbf{WW}, \mathbf{ZZ}$ $\mathbf{A}
ightarrow \mathbf{hZ}, \mathbf{H}
ightarrow \mathbf{hh}$ **PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014**



The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.16/21

Most efficient channels for the production of the heavier MSSM Higgses.

• Searches for the ${f pp}
ightarrow {f A}/{f H}/({f h})
ightarrow au au$ resonant process:

 \Rightarrow rules out high aneta for low $\mathbf{M_A}$ values.

 \bullet Searches for charged Higgs in $t \to b H^+ \to b au
u$ decays:

 \Rightarrow rules out almost any aneta value for $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbf{H}^\pm} \lesssim 160$ GeV.

• Non observation of heavier Higgs bosons in $H \rightarrow ZZ,WW$ modes:

 \Rightarrow no analysis yet!? The width is different from SM-case.

- \bullet Also searches for $A \to hZ$ and $H \to hh$ but not in the MSSM....
- ullet Searches for heavy tt resonances but not in the MSSM ($KK,Z^{\prime}) ...$



PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.17/21

The most constraining channel is by far the ${
m pp} o au^+ au^-$ process.

However, there are problems with the interpretation in the MSSM context.

- \bullet Derived in the "Mh-max scenario" that maximizes radiative corrections to M_h (but constraints are solid at high–tan β).
- \bullet Uses the $M_{\rm S}\,{=}\,1$ TeV benchmark that is ruled out in most (realistic) cases.
- Uses LEP2 constraint $M_h \gtrsim 114$ GeV which is now superseded by the LHC (and this rules out all tan $\beta \lesssim 3$ values).
- Does not take into account LHC data:
 h has 125 GeV and SM–like couplings...

We can be more relaxed: $M_{\rm S} \gg M_{Z}$ and choose it in order that LHC data OK:

- \Rightarrow more consitent/realistic approach,
- \Rightarrow much less model dependance.

anβ MSSM m^{max} scenario M_{SUSY} = 1 TeV 10 5% CL Excluded observed SM H injected expected ± 1σ expected $\pm 2\sigma$ expected LEP 1 100 200 300 400 1000 m, [GeV] 50 $M_h = 114 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 123 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 126 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 129 \text{ GeV}$ $M_{\rm h} = 132 \text{ GeV}$ 10 $\tan\!\beta$ 53 10^{3} 10^{4} 10^{5} 10^{6} 10^{7} $M_{S} [GeV]$

CMS Preliminary, H→ττ, 4.9 fb⁻¹ at 7 TeV, 19.7 fb⁻¹ at 8 TeV

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.18/21



 $M_h = 114 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 120 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 123 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 126 \text{ GeV}$ $M_h = 129 \text{ GeV}$ $M_{\rm h} = 132 \, {\rm GeV}$ 10^{4} 10^{5} 10^{6} 10^{7} M_{S} [GeV] 0.06 $123 \text{ GeV} \le M_h \le 129 \text{ GeV}$ 0.05 $\Delta \alpha$ 0.040.030.02 $M_A = 300 \text{ GeV}$ 0.01 $\tan\beta = 5$ 0 1.5 2 2.5 M_S (TeV)

PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.19/21

4. Implications from heavy Higgsses searches -LHC run 1 legacy on the MSSM $[M_A, \tan\beta]$ plane in the hMSSM:

- $pp \rightarrow H/A \rightarrow \tau \tau$ • $t \rightarrow H^+b \rightarrow b \tau \nu$ (also at low $tan\beta$ values • $H \rightarrow WW$ and ZZ
- (but width as in SM).
- CMS AightarrowhZ analysis
- CMS H \rightarrow hh (to update) (both MSSM interpreted). • $pp \rightarrow H/A \rightarrow t\overline{t}$

with complete analysis:

- effect of total width
- S and B interference
- boosted top jets the action is at low $tan\beta$! Orsay+Rome collaboration.



PASCOS–Warsaw 23/06/2014

The post-Higgs MSSM scenario – A. Djouadi – p.20/21

5. What next?

KEEP GOING!

It is still "action" time:

- keep measuring the Higgs properties: the devil is hidden in the details...
- keep searching for the heavier Higgses, some can be around the corner, ...
- keep searching for SUSY with more focus on stops and EW states..
 and keep an open mind towards overlooked and extended scenarios...

Thank you!