# Solving the Goldstone boson catastrophe and two-loop Higgs masses in non-supersymmetric models

#### Johannes BRAATHEN in collaboration with Mark GOODSELL and Florian STAUB based on *arXiv:1609.06977* and *arXiv:1705.xxxxx*

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique et Hautes Énergies

20<sup>th</sup> Planck Conference May 25, 2017









# The context

#### Higgs mass $m_h$ as a probe for New Physics

- No direct sign of BSM Physics since Higgs discovery in 2012
- $m_h$  sensitive to New Physics via
  - $\rightarrow\,$  tree-level value (predicted in some models eg. SUSY)
  - $\rightarrow\,$  effect of heavy new particles in loops, with large couplings as well (eg. stops)
- Computations performed with effective potential and/or diagrammatic techniques

#### State of the art

- SM:  $V_{\text{eff}}$  (relates  $m_h^2 \leftrightarrow \lambda$ ) is known to full 2-loop (*Ford, Jack and Jones '92*) + leading QCD 3-loop and 4-loop (*Martin '13, Martin '15*)
- Some results for  $m_h^2$  in specific SUSY theories: **MSSM** (leading SQCD 3-loop order); **NMSSM** (2-loop); **Dirac Gaugino models** (leading SQCD 2-loop: *J.B., Goodsell, Slavich* '16)
- Generic theories:  $V_{\rm eff}$  computed to 2-loop (*Martin '01*), 2-loop tadpoles and scalar masses (in gaugeless limit) implemented in SARAH (*Goodsell, Nickel, Staub '15*)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨヨ ののの

#### The Goldstone Boson Catastrophe

- Beyond one loop,  $V_{\rm eff}$  only computed in Landau gauge  $\Rightarrow$  Goldstones are treated as actual massless bosons *i.e.*  $(m_G^2)^{\rm OS} = 0$
- *Remark* Having Goldstones appearing in calculation not related to global/gauged symmetry, but to the gauge choice
  - By choice (for simplicity)  $V_{\text{eff}}$  is computed with running masses:

$$(m_G^2)^{\rm run.} = (m_G^2)^{\rm OS} - \Pi_G((m_G^2)^{\rm OS}) = -\Pi_G(0),$$

where  $\Pi_G$  is the Goldstone self-energy

• 
$$V_{\text{eff}}$$
 contains logs of  $(m_G^2)^{\text{run}}$ , eg  
 $V_{\text{SM}}^{(2)} \supset -3\lambda^2 v^2 I(m_h^2, m_G^2, m_G^2) \underset{m_G^2 \to 0}{=} -6\lambda^2 v^2 A(m_G^2) \frac{A(m_h^2)}{m_h^2}$ 

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial V_{\text{SM}}^{(2)}}{\partial v} \supset -12\lambda^3 v^3 \log \frac{m_G^2}{Q^2} \frac{A(m_h^2)}{m_h^2}$$
 $I(m_L^2, m_G^2, m_G^2)$ 

with  $A(x) = x(\log(x/Q^2) - 1)$ .

- Under RG flow,  $(m_G^2)^{\rm run.}$  may
  - ightarrow become 0  $\Rightarrow$  infrared divergence in  $V_{
    m eff}$  and/or its derivatives
  - $\rightarrow\,$  change sign  $\Rightarrow\,$  imaginary part in  $V_{\rm eff}$  and its derivatives

# $\equiv$ Goldstone boson catastrophe

#### First approaches to the GBC

(cf. talk by Florian Staub this morning)

#### By hand

- ▷ if  $m_G^2 < 0$ , drop the imaginary part of  $V_{\text{eff}}$
- $\triangleright\,$  tune the renormalisation scale Q to ensure  $m_G^2>0$  (and even  $m_G^2$  not too small)

 $\Rightarrow$  may be impossible to achieve and is completely ad hoc

#### In automated codes (SARAH)

 $\triangleright\,$  For SUSY theories only: rely on the gauge-coupling dependent part of  $V^{(0)}$ 

$$\rightarrow$$
 minimize full  $V_{\text{eff}} = V^{(0)} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2}V^{(1)} + \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2}V^{(2)}|_{\text{gaugeless}}$ 

- $\rightarrow$  compute tree-level masses with  $V^{(0)}|_{\text{gaugeless}}$ (= turn off the *D*-term potential)
- ightarrow yields a fake Goldstone mass of order  $\mathcal{O}(m_{EW})$   $\Rightarrow$  no GBC

 $\rightarrow$  wrong mass for Goldstones hence wrong contribution to  $m_h$ 

- $\triangleright$  Add a regulator mass  $m^2_{\rm reg.}=RQ^2$  for massless particles  $\rightarrow$  unwanted new dependence on R, changes the relative size of Goldstone contributions
- + both methods spoil gauge invariance, etc.
- $\Rightarrow$  especially wrong when the scalars in particular the pseudo-scalars give large contributions to the Higgs mass  $\longrightarrow \underline{\text{non-SUSY models}}$

# Resummation of the Goldstone contribution

SM: Martin 1406.2355; Ellias-Miro, Espinosa, Konstandin 1406.2652. MSSM: Kumar, Martin 1605.02059. Generic th: JB, Goodsell 1609.06977.



- Power counting  $\rightarrow$  most divergent contribution to  $V_{\rm eff}$  at  $\ell$ -loop = ring of  $\ell 1$  Goldstone propagators and  $\ell 1$  insertions of 1PI subdiagrams  $\Pi_g$  involving **only** heavy particles
- $\Pi_g$  obtained from  $\Pi_G,$  Goldstone self-energy, by removing "soft" Goldstone terms
- Resumming Goldstone rings  $\Leftrightarrow$  shifting the Goldstone tree-level mass by  $\Pi_g$  in the 1-loop Goldstone term

[Adapted from arXiv:1406.2652]

$$\hat{V}_{\rm eff} = V_{\rm eff} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \bigg[ f(m_G^2 + \Pi_g) - \sum_{n=0}^{\ell-1} \frac{(\Pi_g)^n}{n!} \left( \frac{d}{dm_G^2} \right)^n f(m_G^2) \bigg]$$

 $\rightarrow$   $\ell\text{-loop}$  resummed  $V_{\rm eff}\text{,}$  free of leading Goldstone boson catastrophe

# A word on the extension of the resummation procedure for generic theories

#### Additional difficulties !

- several Goldstones
- ❀ scalar mixing

 $\Rightarrow$  Single out the Goldstones (index G, G', ...) and express their masses

$$m_G^2 = -\sum_i \frac{1}{v_i} (\tilde{R}_{iG})^2 \left. \frac{\partial (V_{\text{eff}} - V^{(0)})}{\partial \phi_i^0} \right|_{\phi_i^0 = 0} = \mathcal{O}(1\text{-loop})$$

 $(\tilde{R}_{ij}$ : rotation matrices in tree-level minimum of  $V_{\text{eff}}$ )

#### Issues with the resummation

taking derivatives of  $\hat{V}_{\text{eff}}$  can be very difficult (involves derivatives of the rotation matrices, etc.)  $\rightarrow$  in practice resummation was **only** used to find

#### the tadpole equations.

the choice of "soft" Goldstone terms to remove from  $\Pi_G$  to find  $\Pi_g$  may (conceptual)

be ambiguous and it is difficult to justify which terms to keep

# Our solution: setting the Goldstone boson on-shell arXiv:1609.06977

Adopt an on-shell scheme for the Goldstone(s)

- Replace  $(m_G^2)^{
m run.}$  by  $(m_G^2)^{
m OS}(=0)$  and  $\Pi_G(0)$ 



• This can be done **directly** in the tadpole equations or mass diagrams!

#### Canceling the IR divergences in the tadpole equations $_{arXiv:1609.06977}$

#### 2-loop tadpole diagrams involving scalars only:

The GBC also appears in diagrams with scalars and fermions or gauge bosons, and is cured with the same procedure  $\rightarrow$  we present the purely scalar case.



#### Canceling the IR divergences in the tadpole equations arXiv:1609.06977

2-loop tadpole diagrams involving scalars only:



Some diagrams of  $T_{SS}$  and  $T_{SSSS}$  topologies diverge for  $m_G^2 
ightarrow 0$ 

#### Canceling the IR divergences in the tadpole equations $_{\rm arXiv:1609.06977}$

2-loop divergences in tadpole diagrams (involving scalars only) ...



... rewritten as a one-loop diagram with insertion of  $\Pi_G(m_G^2)$ 

#### Canceling the IR divergences in the tadpole equations arXiv:1609.06977

What happens when setting the Goldstone on-shell?

• Contribution of the Goldstone(s) to the 1-loop tadpole:

$$T_S \supset -- \int_{G} A(m_G^2) = m_G^2 \left( \log \frac{m_G^2}{Q^2} - 1 \right)$$

• At 1-loop order the scalar-only diagrams in  $\Pi_G(0)$  are

$$(m_G^2)^{\text{run.}} = \underbrace{(m_G^2)^{\text{OS}}}_{=0} - \overset{p^2 = 0}{\overset{r}{c}} - \overset{p^2 = 0}{\overset{r}{c}} - \overset{p^2 = 0}{\overset{r}{c}} + \cdots$$

• Shifting  $m_G^2$  by a 1-loop quantity,  $\Pi_G(0)$ , in the 1-loop tadpole

 $\Rightarrow$  2-loop shift !

$$A((m_G^2)^{\text{run.}}) = \underbrace{A(0)}_{=0} - \underbrace{\log \frac{m_G^2}{Q^2}}_{1\text{-loop}} \underbrace{\Pi_G(0)}_{1\text{-loop}}$$

#### Canceling the IR divergences in the tadpole equations $_{\rm arXiv:1609.06977}$



 $\blacktriangleright$  shifting the Goldstone term in the 1-loop tadpole  $T_S$ 



 $\Rightarrow$  the divergent parts from the diagrams and the shift will cancel out!

Johannes BRAATHEN (1	LPTHE)
----------------------	--------

May 25, 2017 12 / 24

- > Earlier literature: inclusion of momentum cures all the IR divergences
- ▷ We found
  - $\Rightarrow$  true at 1-loop order



- > Earlier literature: inclusion of momentum cures all the IR divergences
- ▷ We found
  - $\Rightarrow$  true at 1-loop order



- > Earlier literature: inclusion of momentum cures all the IR divergences
- ▷ We found
  - $\Rightarrow$  true at 1-loop order



- > Earlier literature: inclusion of momentum cures all the IR divergences
- $\triangleright$  We found
  - $\Rightarrow$  true at 1-loop order



Rewrite the divergent two-loop mass diagrams



( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( ) < ( )

#### Setting the Goldstone(s) on-shell in mass diagrams

• Goldstone contributions to the 1-loop scalar self-energy



• Again, shifting the Goldstone mass to on-shell scheme gives

$$(m_G^2)^{\text{run.}} = - \begin{array}{c} p^2 = 0 \\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} p^2 = 0 \\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} p^2 = 0 \\ \overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \overrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} + \cdots \end{array}$$

ightarrow 2-loop shift to the mass diagrams

$$\delta\Pi_{ij}^{(1)}(s) = - \xrightarrow[i]{}_{i} \xrightarrow[i]{}_{i} \xrightarrow{\mathbf{G}} \xrightarrow$$

 $\longrightarrow$  cancels the divergence in the V, X, Y, W mass diagrams !

# Automated two-loop mass computations free of the Goldstone boson catastrophe

- On-shell Goldstones  $\Rightarrow$  regularised loop functions, free of GBC
- Implemented in new routines in SARAH/SPheno spectrum generator (SARAH = Mathematica package, creates SPheno code for model to study, cf. Florian Staub's talk this morning and arXiv:0806.0538, arXiv:1309.7223, arXiv:1503.04200)
- In particular useful for study of Higgs masses in non-SUSY theories where pseudo-scalar contributions are **large**.

In the following: a few checks and examples of results for  $m_h^{2\ell}$  in non-SUSY models  $\to$  here  $\underline{\rm 2HDM}$ 

based on 1705.xxxx (to appear soon)



# Two-loop Higgs masses in the 2HDM No more GBC!

Smaller value of  $R \rightarrow$  effect of several GeV on  $m_h...$ 

Johannes BRAATHEN (LPTHE)

May 25, 2017 20 / 24

# Two-loop Higgs masses in the 2HDM

#### Improved renormalisation scale dependence



# Two-loop Higgs masses in the 2HDM

#### The danger of using masses as inputs

Studies of 2HDM usually take tree-level Higgs masses as inputs instead of couplings from scalar potential, eg here inputs are



Huge loop corrections  $\rightarrow$  non physical parameter point (too large couplings)

 $m_A(\text{GeV})$ 

 $m_A(\text{GeV})$ 

★ Ξ →

# Our results and outlooks

- ► Analytic results for generic theories (scalars, fermions, gauge bosons), avoiding the Goldstone boson catastrophe
  - $\rightarrow$  full two-loop tadpole equations
  - $\rightarrow$  two-loop mass diagrams for neutral scalars in gaugeless limit, in a generalised effective potential approach (*i.e.* neglect terms of order  $\mathcal{O}(s)$  and higher)

#### Numerical implementation in SARAH

(illustrated in 1705.xxxx, soon made public)

- $\rightarrow\,$  no more numerical instability associated with the GBC
- $\rightarrow\,$  automated Higgs mass calculations in both SUSY and non-SUSY models
- ► Further work on the GBC
  - extend the solution of GBC to higher loop order
    - $\rightarrow~$  on-shell method still working?
    - $\rightarrow$  how to formalise/prove the resummation prescription? (*i.e.* how to find  $\Pi_a$ )
  - extend mass-diagram calculations to quartic order in the gauge couplings (go beyond the gaugeless limit)
  - investigate further the link between resummation and on-shell method
  - use similar techniques to address other IR divergences ?

Solving the Goldstone boson catastrophe and two-loop Higgs masses in non-supersymmetric models

# Thank you for your attention !

May 25, 2017 24 / 24

→ ∃ →

Backup

# **Backup slides**

## The effective potential

 $V_{\rm eff} = V^{(0)} + {\rm quantum\ corrections}$ 

• Potential for scalars, including quantum corrections = 1PI vacuum graphs computed loop by loop

1-loop 
$$()$$
; 2-loop  $()$  +  $()$ ; etc.

- Expressed as a function of running tree-level masses of particles, in some minimal substraction scheme ( $\overline{\rm MS}, \, \overline{\rm DR}'$ , etc.)
- First derivative of  $V_{eff}$ : tadpole equation ( $\leftrightarrow$  minimum condition), relates vev and mass-squared parameters
- Second derivative: same as self-energy diagrams, but with zero external momentum → approximate scalar masses

#### Illustration: the abelian Goldstone model

• 1 complex scalar  $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v + h + iG)$ , no gauge group and only a potential

$$V^{(0)} = \mu^2 |\phi|^2 + \lambda |\phi|^4$$

v: true vev, to all orders in perturbation theory (PT)

- SM:  $G^+$ ,  $G^0$  Goldstones do not mix, and can be treated separetely  $\rightarrow$  this model captures the behaviour of the GBC in the SM
- V<sub>eff</sub> at 2-loop order:

$$V_{\rm eff} = V^{(0)} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ f(m_h^2) + f(m_G^2) \right]}_{1-\rm loop} \label{eq:Veff}$$

$$+\underbrace{\frac{1}{(16^{2})^{2}} \left[ \lambda \left( \frac{3}{4} A(m_{G}^{2})^{2} + \frac{1}{2} A(m_{G}^{2}) A(m_{h}^{2}) \right) - \lambda^{2} v^{2} I(m_{h}^{2}, m_{G}^{2}, m_{G}^{2}) + \underbrace{\cdots}_{2 \cdot \text{loop}} \right]}_{2 \cdot \text{loop}} + \mathcal{O}(3 \cdot \text{loop})$$

where 
$$f(x) = \frac{x^2}{4} (\log x/Q^2 - 3/2), A(x) = x(\log x/Q^2 - 1)$$
 and  $I \propto \bigcirc$   
• Tree-level masses:  $m_h^2 = \mu^2 + 3\lambda v^2, m_G^2 = \mu^2 + \lambda v^2$ 

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨヨ ののの

#### Illustration: the abelian Goldstone model

#### Tree-level tadpole

$$\left. \frac{\partial V^{(0)}}{\partial h} \right|_{h=0,G=0} = 0 = \mu^2 v + \lambda v^3 = m_G^2 v$$

#### Loop-corrected tadpole

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial h}\Big|_{h=0,G=0} &= 0 = m_G^2 v + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda v}{16\pi^2} \left[ 3A(m_h^2) + A(m_G^2) \right]}_{\text{1-loop}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\frac{\log \frac{m_G^2}{Q^2}}{(16^2)^2} \left[ 3\lambda^2 v A(m_G^2) + \frac{4\lambda^3 v^3}{m_h^2} A(m_h^2) \right] + \underbrace{\frac{\log \frac{m_G^2}{Q^2}}{(16^2)^2} + \mathcal{O}(3\text{-loop})}_{2\text{-loop}} \end{split}$$

ELE NOR

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 > < 0 >

# Illustration: the abelian Goldstone model

Tree-level tadpole equation

$$\left.\frac{\partial V^{(0)}}{\partial h}\right|_{h=0,G=0} = 0 = \mu^2 v + \lambda v^3 = m_G^2 v$$

Loop-corrected tadpole equation

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial V_{\text{eff}}}{\partial h}\Big|_{h=0,G=0} &= 0 = m_G^2 v + \underbrace{\frac{\lambda v}{16\pi^2} \left[ 3A(m_h^2) + A(m_G^2) \right]}_{\text{1-loop}} \\ &+ \underbrace{\underbrace{\frac{\log \frac{m_G^2}{Q^2}}{(16^2)^2} \left[ 3\lambda^2 v A(m_G^2) + \frac{4\lambda^3 v^3}{m_h^2} A(m_h^2) \right]}_{2\text{-loop}} + \mathcal{O}(3\text{-loop}) \end{split}$$

A = A A =
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

# More details on the resummation of Goldstone contributions

$$R_{\ell} \equiv \int \frac{d^{d}k}{i(2\pi)^{d}} \left(\frac{\Pi_{g}}{k^{2} - m_{G}^{2}}\right)^{\ell-1} \\ \propto \frac{(\Pi_{g})^{\ell-1}}{(\ell-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dm_{G}^{2}}\right)^{\ell-1} \int \frac{d^{d}k}{i(2\pi)^{d}} \log(k^{2} - m_{G}^{2}) \\ = \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} \frac{(\Pi_{g})^{\ell-1}}{(\ell-1)!} \left(\frac{d}{dm_{G}^{2}}\right)^{\ell-1} f(m_{G}^{2}) \\ \text{so } \sum_{\ell} R_{\ell} = \frac{1}{16\pi^{2}} f(m_{G}^{2} + \Pi_{g}) \\ \text{here } f(r) = \frac{x^{2}}{\ell} (\log r - \frac{3}{2})$$

where  $f(x) = \frac{x}{4}(\log x)$  $-\overline{2}$ 

#### Extending the resummation to generic theories arXiv:1609.06977

Generic theories: J.B., Goodsell arXiv:1609.06977

Real scalar fields  $\varphi_i^0 = v_i + \phi_i^0$ , where  $v_i$  are the vevs to all order in PT  $V^{(0)}(\{\varphi_i^0\}) = V^{(0)}(v_i) + \frac{1}{2}m_{0,ij}^2\phi_i^0\phi_j^0 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda_0^{ijk}\phi_i^0\phi_j^0\phi_k^0 + \frac{1}{24}\lambda_0^{ijkl}\phi_i^0\phi_j^0\phi_k^0\phi_l^0$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^0, m_{0,ij}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{\phi_i^0 = \tilde{R}_{ij} \, \tilde{\phi}_j} (\tilde{\phi}_i, \tilde{m}_i) \text{ (no loop corrections)}$$
  
 $\begin{pmatrix} \phi_i^0, m_{ij}^2 \end{pmatrix}^{\phi_i^0 = R_{ij} \, \phi_j} (\phi_i, m_i) \text{ (with loop corrections)}$ 

Single out the Goldstone boson(s), index  $G, G', \dots$  and its/their mass(es)

$$m_G^2 = -\sum_i \frac{1}{v_i} (\tilde{R}_{iG})^2 \left. \frac{\partial (V_{\text{eff}} - V^{(0)})}{\partial \phi_i^0} \right|_{\phi_i^0 = 0} = \mathcal{O}(1\text{-loop})$$

Johannes BRAATHEN (LPTHE)

#### Consistent solution of the tadpole equations arXiv:1609.06977

• Schematically, tadpole equations are of the form

$$m^2 = m_0^2 - \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial \Delta V(m^2)}{\partial v},$$
 with   
 $\begin{cases} Tree-level masses \\ m^2 \text{ in loop-corrected minimum} \\ m_0^2 \text{ in tree-level minimum} \end{cases}$ 

and need to be solved iteratively  $\Rightarrow$  time-consuming!

- Expressing loop corrections as functions of  $m_0^2$ , and similarly tree-level couplings, makes solving the tadpole equation much easier.
- Generalise the procedure used for Goldstone bosons, and define mass shifts  $\Delta_{ii} = -\tilde{R}_{bi}\tilde{R}_{bi}\frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial\Delta V}{\partial x}$

$$u_{j} = -n_{ki}n_{kj} \left[ \frac{1}{v_k} \frac{\partial \phi_k^0}{\partial \phi_k^0} \right]_{\phi^0 = 0}$$

$$(m_{ij}^2, m_{0,ij}^2) \xrightarrow{diagonalise} m_i^2 = \bar{m}_i^2 + \Delta_{ii}$$

• One-loop terms with  $\bar{m}_i^2 \Rightarrow$  two-loop shift!  $\searrow$ 

$$\boldsymbol{m}^{2} = \boldsymbol{m}_{0}^{2} - \frac{1}{v} \frac{\partial \Delta V(\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}^{2})}{\partial v} - \delta \left( \frac{\partial \Delta V(\bar{\boldsymbol{m}}^{2})}{\partial v} \right)$$

# More details about the calculations for the scalar-only tadpole

#### Divergent terms

• From  $T_{SS}$ :

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_S^{(2)}}{\partial \phi_r^0} \right|_{\varphi=v} \supset \frac{1}{4} R_{rp} \sum_{l \neq G} \lambda^{GGll} \lambda^{GGp} \, \overline{\log} \, m_G^2 A(m_l^2)$$

From T<sub>SSSS</sub>:

$$\left. \frac{\partial V_S^{(2)}}{\partial \phi_r^0} \right|_{\varphi=v} \supset \frac{1}{4} R_{rp} \lambda^{pGG} \lambda^{Gkl} \lambda^{Gkl} \log m_G^2 P_{SS}(m_k^2, m_l^2)$$

#### Setting the Goldstone mass on-shell

$$\Pi_{GG}^{(1),S}\left(p^{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\lambda^{GGjj}A(m_{j}^{2}) - \frac{1}{2}(\lambda^{Gjk})^{2}B(p^{2},m_{j}^{2},m_{k}^{2})$$

Hence a 2-loop shift:

$$\frac{\partial V_S^{(2)}}{\partial \phi_r^0}((m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}}) = \left. \frac{\partial V_S^{(2)}}{\partial \phi_r^0} \right|_{m_G^2 \to (m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}}} - \frac{1}{4} R_{rp} \lambda^{GGp} \overline{\log}(m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGjj} A(m_j^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_j^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGjj} A(m_j^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_j^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_g^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_g^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_g^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_g^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_g^2) - (\lambda^{Gjk})^2 B(0, m_G^2)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \right)^{\mathsf{OS}} \left( \lambda^{GGj} A(m_g^2) - (\lambda^{$$

Johannes BRAATHEN (LPTHE)

May 25, 2017 33 / 2

= 200

• • = • • = •

#### Backup

# The full 2-loop tadpole equation free of GBC

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \hat{V}^{(2)}}{\partial \phi_r^0} \bigg|_{\varphi=v} = & R_{rp} \bigg[ \overline{T}_{SS}^p + \overline{T}_{SSS}^p + \overline{T}_{SSSS}^p + \overline{T}_{SSFF}^p + \overline{T}_{FFFS}^p \\ & + \overline{T}_{SSV}^p + \overline{T}_{VS}^p + \overline{T}_{VVS}^p + \overline{T}_{FFV}^p + \overline{T}_{\overline{FFV}}^p + \overline{T}_{\text{gauge}}^p \bigg]. \end{split}$$

Notations: see 1609.06977, 1503.03098

三日 のへの

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

The all-scalar diagrams are

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}_{SS}^{p} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{j,k,l \neq G} \lambda^{jkll} \lambda^{jkp} P_{SS}(m_{j}^{2}, m_{k}^{2}) A(m_{l}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l \neq G} \lambda^{Gkll} \lambda^{Gkp} P_{SS}(0, m_{k}^{2}) A(m_{l}^{2}), \\ \overline{T}_{SSS}^{p} &= \frac{1}{6} \lambda^{pjkl} \lambda^{jkl} f_{SSS}(m_{j}^{2}, m_{k}^{2}, m_{l}^{2}) \big|_{m_{G}^{2} \to 0}, \\ \overline{T}_{SSSS}^{p} &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{(j,j') \neq (G,G')} \lambda^{pjj'} \lambda^{jkl} \lambda^{j'kl} U_{0}(m_{j}^{2}, m_{j'}^{2}, m_{k}^{2}, m_{l}^{2}) \\ &+ \frac{1}{4} \sum_{(k,l) \neq (G,G')} \lambda^{pGG'} \lambda^{Gkl} \lambda^{G'kl} R_{SS}(m_{k}^{2}, m_{l}^{2}), \end{split}$$

where by  $(j,j') \neq (G,G')$  we mean that j,j' are not both Goldstone indices.

→ Ξ →

The fermion-scalar diagrams are

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}^{p}_{SSFF} &= \sum_{(k,l) \neq (G,G')} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} y^{IJk} y_{IJl} \lambda^{klp} f^{(0,0,1)}_{FFS}(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2};m_{k}^{2},m_{l}^{2}) \\ &- \mathsf{Re} \bigg[ y^{IJk} y^{I'J'k} M^{*}_{II'} M^{*}_{JJ'} \bigg] \lambda^{klp} U_{0}(m_{k}^{2},m_{I}^{2},m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2}) \bigg\} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \lambda^{GG'p} y^{IJG} y_{IJG'} \left( -I(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2},0) - (m_{I}^{2}+m_{J}^{2}) R_{SS}(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2}) \right) \\ &- \lambda^{GG'p} \mathsf{Re} \bigg[ y^{IJG} y^{I'J'G'} M^{*}_{II'} M^{*}_{JJ'} \bigg] R_{SS}(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2}), \\ \overline{T}^{p}_{FFFS} = T^{p}_{FFFS} \bigg|_{m^{2}_{G} \to 0}, \end{split}$$

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

The gauge boson-scalar tadpoles are

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}^p_{SSV} = & T^p_{SSV} \left|_{m^2_G \to 0}, \\ \overline{T}^p_{VS} = & \frac{1}{4} g^{abii} g^{abp} f^{(1,0)}_{VS}(m^2_a, m^2_b; m^2_i) \right|_{m^2_G \to 0} \\ & + \sum_{(i,k) \neq (G,G')} \frac{1}{4} g^{aaik} \lambda^{ikp} f^{(0,1)}_{VS}(m^2_a; m^2_i, m^2_k), \\ \overline{T}^p_{VVS} = & \frac{1}{2} g^{abi} g^{cbi} g^{acp} f^{(1,0,0)}_{VVS}(m^2_a, m^2_c; m^2_b, m^2_i) \Big|_{m^2_G \to 0} \\ & + \sum_{(i,j) \neq (G,G')} \frac{1}{4} g^{abi} g^{abj} \lambda^{ijp} f^{(0,0,1)}_{VVS}(m^2_a, m^2_b; m^2_i, m^2_j) \\ & - \frac{1}{4} g^{abG} g^{abG'} \lambda^{GG'p} R_{VV}(m^2_a, m^2_b). \end{split}$$

• • = • •

# The gauge boson-fermion and gauge diagrams are not affected by the Goldstone boson catastrophe

$$\begin{split} \overline{T}_{FFV}^{p} =& 2g_{I}^{aJ}\overline{g}_{bJ}^{K}\mathsf{Re}[M_{KI'}y^{I'Ip}]f_{FFV}^{(1,0,0)}(m_{I}^{2},m_{K}^{2};m_{J}^{2},m_{a}^{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g_{I}^{aJ}\overline{g}_{bJ}^{I}g^{abp}f_{FFV}^{(0,0,1)}(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2};m_{a}^{2},m_{b}^{2}), \\ \overline{T}_{\overline{FFV}}^{p} =& g_{I}^{aJ}g_{I'}^{aJ'}\mathsf{Re}[y^{II'p}M_{JJ'}^{*}]\left[f_{\overline{FFV}}(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2},m_{a}^{2}) + M_{I}^{2}f_{\overline{FFV}}^{(1,0,0)}(m_{I}^{2},m_{I'}^{2};m_{J}^{2},m_{a}^{2})\right] \\ &\quad + g_{I}^{aJ}g_{I'}^{aJ'}\mathsf{Re}[M^{IK'}M^{KI'}M_{JJ'}^{*}y_{KK'p}]f_{\overline{FFV}}^{(1,0,0)}(m_{I}^{2},m_{I'}^{2};m_{J}^{2},m_{a}^{2}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}g_{I}^{aJ}g_{I'}^{bJ'}g^{abp}M^{II'}M_{JJ'}^{*}f_{\overline{FFV}}^{(0,0,1)}(m_{I}^{2},m_{J}^{2};m_{a}^{2},m_{b}^{2}), \\ \overline{T}_{\mathsf{gauge}}^{p} =& \frac{1}{4}g^{abc}g^{dbc}g^{adp}f_{\mathsf{gauge}}^{(1,0,0)}(m_{a}^{2},m_{d}^{2};m_{b}^{2},m_{c}^{2}). \end{split}$$

イロト イボト イヨト イヨ