Z₂ SIMP Dark Matter

NB, C. Garcia Cely & R. Rosenfeld arXiv 1501 01973 - JCAP 1504 (2015) 04, 012

NB, X. Chu, C. Garcia-Cely, T. Hambye & B. Zaldivar arXiv:1510.08063 - JCAP 1603 (2016) 03, 018

> NB & X. Chu arXiv:1510.08527 - JCAP 1601 (2016) 006

Nicolás BERNAL



International Centre for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Researc UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA JULIO DE MESQUITA FILHO"

June 4th, 2016

The SIMPlest Dark Matter Model

based on NB, C. Garcia-Cely & R. Rosenfeld arXiv:1501.01973 - JCAP 1504 (2015) 04, 012

NB, X. Chu, C. Garcia-Cely, T. Hambye & B. Zaldiva arXiv:1510.08063 - JCAP 1603 (2016) 03, 018

NB & X. Chu arXiv:1510.08527 - JCAP 1601 (2016) 006

Nicolás BERNAL



International Centre for Theoretical Physics South American Institute for Fundamental Researc UNIVERSIDADE ESTADUAL PAULISTA JÚLIO DE MESQUITA FILHO"

June 4th, 2016

Evidences for Dark Matter

Several observations indicate existence of non-luminous Dark Matter (missing force) at very different scales!

* Galactic rotation curves
* Clusters of galaxies
* CMB anisotropies







Small-scale problems → **Self-interacting DM**

Small-scale problems: * Core-vs-cusp * Too-big-to-fail

Possible solutions:

- * Baryonic physics
 - Can't use DM-only simulations to model real DM+baryon Universe
 - Astrophysical observations not being modeled correctly (Suppressed gas cooling efficiency, low star-formation efficiency, supernova feedback, large velocity anisotropy...)

* Dark matter DM may not be collisionless

$$\left(\frac{\sigma_{\text{scatter}}}{m_{\chi}}\right)_{\text{obs}} = (0.1 - 10) \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}$$

 $\sim \text{few barns/GeV}$

From the Bullet Cluster:

$$\frac{\sigma_{\rm scatter}}{m_X} \lesssim 1 \ {\rm cm}^2/{\rm g}$$
.

H-B Yu's talk!

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR

Small-scale problems → **Self-interacting DM**

Small-scale problems: * Core-vs-cusp * Too-big-to-fail

Possible set

Dark Matter with sizable self-Interactions! Just an excuse for studying largely overlooked regions on the parameter space → Self-Interactions point towards $\sim \text{few barns/GeV}$ 10 cm²/g ODS $\frac{\sigma_{\text{scatter}}}{1} \lesssim 1 \text{ cm}^2/\text{g}.$ From the Bullet Cluster: H-B Yu's talk! m_X Nicolás BERNAL **ICTP - SAIFR**

The SIMPlest DM model ever: Singlet Scalar Dark Matter

Singlet Scalar DM

McDonald '07

S is a singlet scalar, protected by a Z₂ $V = \mu_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_S S^4 + \lambda_{HS} |H|^2 S^2$

3 free parameters:
* m_s DM mass
* λ_{Hs} Higgs portal
* λ_s DM quartic coupling

Singlet Scalar DM

McDonald '07

S is a singlet scalar, protected by a Z₂ $V = \mu_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_S S^4 + \lambda_{HS} |H|^2 S^2$

3 free parameters: * m_s DM mass * λ_{Hs} Higgs portal } ← * λ_s DM quartic coupling ←

←--- Up to now, concentrate on this←--- Completely ignored!

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

and the strength of its self-interactions. Of these, λ_s is largely unconstrained and can be chosen arbitrarily. We need only assume it to be small enough to permit the pertur-

S is a singlet scalar, protected by a Z_2

 $V = \mu_S^2 S^2 + \lambda_S S^4 + \lambda_{HS} |H|^2 S^2$

3 free parameters: * m_s DM mass * λ_{Hs} Higgs portal * λ_s DM quartic coupling

←--- Up to now, concentrate on this←--- Completely ignored!

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

 $\mu_S^+ \mathfrak{S}^- + \lambda_S^- \mathfrak{S}^- + \lambda_{HS}^- |H|^- \mathfrak{S}$

and darkon masses m_h and m_D , respectively, the Higgs-darkon coupling λ , and the darkon self-interaction coupling λ_D . In our analysis, λ_D will not be involved.

3 free parameters: DM mass * **m**, * λ_{HS} Higgs portal

 \leftarrow ---- Up to now, concentrate on this DM quartic coupling ←--- Completely ignored!

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines

Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi

The phenomenology of this model is completely determined by the parameters a_2 and b_2 (or m_S), since the self-interaction quartic coupling b_4 does not play any phenomenologically observable role (see e.g. [26, 39]).



 \leftarrow ---- Up to now, concentrate on this

←--- Completely ignored!

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines

Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi

Gamma rays from the annihilation of singlet scalar dark matter

Carlos E. Yaguna

*

 $S \rightarrow -S$. The scalar singlet extension of the standard model, therefore, contains only 3 new parameters: m_0 , λ , and λ_s . Because it only determines * the strength of the singlet self-interactions, λ_S is unconstrained and largely irrelevant to the phenomenology of the model. In the following we will simply qualtic coupting

n this

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines

Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi

Gamma rays from the annihilation of singlet scalar dark matter

Carlos E. Yaguna

Antimatter signals of singlet scalar dark matter model, therefore,

A. Goudelis, Y. Mambrini, C. Yaguna

model, therefore, t only determines ained and largely

model field that directly couples to the singlet. This extension of the standard model contains, therefore, two new phenomenologically relevant parameters: m_0 and λ . Instead of m_0 , it is useful to consider the physical mass of the singlet

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines

Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi

Gamma rays from the annihilation of singlet scalar dark matter

Carlos E. Yaguna

Antimatter signals of singlet scalar dark matter model, therefore,

A. Goudelis, Y. Mambrini, C. Yaguna





Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi, Carroll Wainwright

¹ Note that the singlet self quartic coupling b_4 is completely irrelevant here.

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines

Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi

Gamma rays from the annihilation of singlet scalar dark matter

Carlos E. Yaguna

Antimatter signals of singlet scalar dark matter model, therefore,

A. Goudelis, Y. Mambrini, C. Yaguna





Singlet Scalar Dark Matter: monochromatic gamma rays and metastable vacua

Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi, Carroll Wainwright

Update on scalar singlet dark matter

James M. Cline, Kimmo Kainulainen, Pat Scott, Christoph Weniger forbidden by any symmetry. Apart from the S kinetic term and its quartic self-coupling (which plays no observable role in phenomenology), the two terms in eq.

C.P. Burgess, M. Pospelov, T. ter Veldhuis

The Simplest Dark-Matter Model, CDMS II Results, and Higgs Detection at LHC

Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Xue-Qian Li, Jusak Tandean, Ho-Chin Tsai

Closing in on singlet scalar dark matter: LUX, invisible Higgs decays and gamma-ray lines

Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi

Gamma rays from the annihilation of singlet scalar dark matter

Carlos E. Yaguna

Antimatter signals of singlet scalar dark matter model, therefore,

A. Goudelis, Y. Mambrini, C. Yaguna

t only determines ained and largely



Singlet Scalar Dark Matter: monochromatic gamma rays and metastable vacua

Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi, Carroll Wainwright

Update on scalar singlet dark matter

James M. Cline, Kimmo Kainulainen, Pat Scott, Christoph Weniger Constraining the Higgs portal with antiprotons

Alfredo Urbano, Wei Xue

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm HP} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} S) (\partial^{\mu} S) - \frac{m_0^2}{2} S^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\rm S}}{2} |H|^2 S^2 ,$$

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR

The Minimal Model of Nonbaryonic Dark Matter: A Singlet Scalar C.P. BL Scalar Singlet Dark Matter and Gamma Lines The Sin Michael Duer, Pavel Fileviez Perez, Juri Smirnov Xiao-Gang He, Tong Li, Dhysical dark matter mass Ms. The quartic coupling As does not play any role in DM phenomenology. There Closing in on sin Lei Feng, Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi Gamma rays from the annihilation of singlet scalar dark matter Carlos E. Yaguna Antimatter signals of singlet scalar dark matter model, therefore, tonly determines

A. Goudelis, Y. Mambrini, C. Yaguna

Singlet Scalar Dark Matter: monochromatic gamma rays and metastable vacua

this

ained and largely

Stefano Profumo, Lorenzo Ubaldi, Carroll Wainwright

Update on scalar singlet dark matter

James M. Cline, Kimmo Kainulainen, Pat Scott, Christoph Weniger Constraining the Higgs portal with antiprotons

Alfredo Urbano, Wei Xue

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm HP} = \mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_{\mu} S) (\partial^{\mu} S) - \frac{m_0^2}{2} S^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\rm S}}{2} |H|^2 S^2 ,$$

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR



Nicolás BERNAL ICTP

ι ICTP - SAIFR



Nicolás BERNAL IC

ICTP - SAIFR

Dark Matter Self-Interactions

Dark Matter Self-Interactions



Dark Matter Self-Interactions & Invisible Higgs decay



 $m_{S} \sim 8\pi \ \overline{m_{S}^{3}}$ $0.1 \lesssim \frac{\sigma_{SS}}{m_{S}} \lesssim 10 \ \mathrm{cm}^{2}/\mathrm{g} \quad \text{Implies } \left\{ \begin{array}{c} * \lambda_{s} \sim 1 \\ * \ \mathrm{m_{s}} \sim 100 \ \mathrm{MeV} \end{array} \right\}$

The Higgs tends to annihilate into DM $\lambda_{HS} < 7.10^{-3}$ BR(h \rightarrow inv.) < 20%

How to produce such a Self-Interacting Dark Matter?

WIMP DM





$\langle \sigma_{SS \to f\bar{f}} v \rangle \ll 10^{-26} \,\mathrm{cm}^3/\mathrm{s} \longrightarrow \text{Universe overclosed!}$

 \rightarrow DM can not be a WIMP!!!

Again: How to produce such a Self-Interacting Dark Matter?!

Hochberg, Kuflik, Volansky & Wacker '14





Hochberg, Kuflik, Volansky & Wacker '14

 $\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn = -\langle \sigma v^2 \rangle_{3 \to 2} \left(n^3 - n^2 n_{\rm eq} \right)$



* DM in the MeV range

* Small DM-SM portal

* α ~ 1 'Strong' Self-interactions → SIMP

Caveat



Hochberg, Kuflik, Volansky & Wacker '14

 $\frac{dn}{dt} + 3Hn = -\langle \sigma v^2 \rangle_{3 \to 2} \left(n^3 - n^2 n_{\rm eq} \right)$



* Small DM-SM portal

* α ~ 1 'Strong' Self-interactions → SIMP

However $3\rightarrow 2$ reactions are forbidden in most common scenarios where the DM stability is guaranteed by a Z_2 symmetry (R-parity in SUSY, K-parity in Kaluza-Klein...)

time



But Z_2 , symmetries allow $4 \rightarrow 2$ annihilations!





 $\langle \sigma v^3 \rangle_{4 \to 2} \sim \frac{27\sqrt{3}}{8\pi} \frac{\lambda_S^4}{m_S^8}$



$T_{SM} = T_{DM} @ DM freeze-out$



T_{SM} ≠ T_{DM} @ DM freeze-out



How to produce such a difference of temperatures?



DM Production * Out-of-equilibrium production à la freeze-in: h→SS DM in kinetic equilibrium via 2↔2 DM inherits SM temperature

ICTP - SAIFR

T_{SM}

T_{SM}

DM Production * Out-of-equilibrium production à la freeze-in: h→SS DM in kinetic equilibrium via 2↔2 DM inherits SM temperature

* DM populates rapidly via out-of-equilibrium $2 \rightarrow 4$. Price to pay: Dramatic decrease of T_{DM}

emperature.

ICTP - SAIFR

DM Production * Out-of-equilibrium production à la freeze-in: h→SS DM in kinetic equilibrium via 2↔2 DM inherits SM temperature

* DM populates rapidly via out-of-equilibrium $2 \rightarrow 4$. Price to pay: Dramatic decrease of T_{DM}

Thermal Equilibrium * Chemical equilibrium 2↔4

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR

T_{SM}



DM Production * Out-of-equilibrium production à la freeze-in: h→SS DM in kinetic equilibrium via 2↔2 DM inherits SM temperature

* DM populates rapidly via out-of-equilibrium $2 \rightarrow 4$. Price to pay: Dramatic decrease of T_{DM}

Thermal Equilibrium * Chemical equilibrium **2**↔**4**

 $\frac{\text{DM Annihilation}}{\text{Freeze-out } 4 \rightarrow 2}$

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR

T_{SM}



DM Production * Out-of-equilibrium production à la freeze-in: h→SS DM in kinetic equilibrium via 2↔2 DM inherits SM temperature

* DM populates rapidly via out-of-equilibrium $2 \rightarrow 4$. Price to pay: Dramatic decrease of T_{DM}

Thermal Equilibrium * Chemical equilibrium **2**↔**4**

DM Annihilation * Freeze-out **4**→**2**

After the Freeze-out

* Relic abundance Non-relativistic DM cools down faster

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR



DM Production * Out-of-equilibrium production à la freeze-in: h→SS DM in kinetic equilibrium via 2↔2 DM inherits SM temperature

* DM populates rapidly via out-of-equilibrium $2 \rightarrow 4$. Price to pay: Dramatic decrease of T_{DM}

Thermal Equilibrium * Chemical equilibrium 2↔4

 $\frac{DM \text{ Annihilation}}{Freeze-out } 4 \rightarrow 2$

After the Freeze-out

* Relic abundance Non-relativistic DM cools down faster

Nicolás BERNAL

ICTP - SAIFR

Generating T_{DM} < T_{SM} via the Higgs Portal







Small-scale anomalies * Cusp-vs-core * Too-big-to-fail

Small-scale anomalies

* Cusp-vs-core * Too-big-to-fail

 $\frac{\text{Self-Interacting}}{\text{Dark Matter}} \\ \frac{\sigma_{SS \rightarrow SS}}{m_S} \sim 1 \, \text{cm}^2/\text{g}$

Small-scale anomalies * Cusp-vs-core

* Too-big-to-fail

 $m_{s} \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$ $\lambda_{s} \sim 1$ $\lambda_{HS} < 10^{-3}$

Self-Interacting Dark Matter $\frac{\sigma_{SS \rightarrow SS}}{m_S} \sim 1 \,\mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{g}$

Small-scale anomalies * Cusp-vs-core

* Too-big-to-fail

 $m_{s} \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$ $\lambda_{s} \sim 1$ $\lambda_{HS} < 10^{-3}$

Self-Interacting Dark Matter $\frac{\sigma_{SS \rightarrow SS}}{m_S} \sim 1 \,\mathrm{cm}^2/\mathrm{g}$

SIMP DM * dominant N→n * need to avoid the 'DM reheating' + kinetic equilibrium SM↔DM + dark sector with relativistic particles @ FO + SM and DM never in kinetic equilibrium

- * SIMP DM <u>only</u> studied so far in the context of $3 \rightarrow 2$ annihilations, but they are forbidden in typical Z₂ invariant theories!
- * If DM stability is guaranteed by a Z_2 , $4 \rightarrow 2$ reactions can dominate!
- * SIMPlest example: Singlet Scalar DM $m_s \sim 100 \text{ MeV}$ $\lambda_s \sim 1$ $\lambda_{HS} \sim 10^{-10}$ \rightarrow Freeze-in
- * Difference of temperatures can be dynamically generated via the small Higgs portal

* SIMPs offer a new window to DM: Points to different physical scales

* New model building challenges!