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- The groups: abelian $\quad \phi_{k} \mapsto \phi_{k} e^{\frac{2 \pi i n_{k}}{N}}$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbb{Z}_{N} \simeq\langle a\rangle \\
\mathbb{Z}_{N_{1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_{N_{2}} \times \ldots \simeq\left\langle a, a^{\prime}, \ldots\right\rangle
\end{gathered}
$$

- Not all are symmetries of 4HDM
- Igor et al, [arXiv:1112.1660]
- Symmetry of 4HDM --- order < 8
$\mathbb{Z}_{8}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{7}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{6}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{5}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{3}, \quad \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$
- https://github.com/JiazhenShao/4HDMToolbox.git --- my code, 4HDM toolbox

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{lll}
\phi_{1} & \phi_{2} \\
\phi_{4} & \phi_{3}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \Longrightarrow \phi_{3} \\
& \phi_{1} \longleftrightarrow \phi_{4} \longleftrightarrow \phi_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

- The groups: non-abelian, List of nonabelian symmetry of 4HDM ?


## [3] Constructing non-abelian groups

- Start with rephasing symmetry group, e.g. $A=\langle a\rangle$
- Add permutation symmetry --- we don't consider other symmetries in this work
- What permutation? don't want to guess.
- Inspired by Igor et al, [arXiv:1206.7108], [arXiv:1210.6553], define $b \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$.

- $b^{-1} A b=A, b$ as automorphism of $A: b \in \operatorname{Aut}(A) \cdot b^{-1} a b=a^{\prime}$. As an equation

Renormalizable : $V=m_{i j}^{2}\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{j}\right)+\Lambda_{i j k l}\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{j}\right)\left(\phi_{k}^{\dagger} \phi_{l}\right)$

Potential with abelian symmetry $A: V(A)=V_{0}+V^{\prime}(A)$.

Potential with additional permutation symmetry $b$ : specify relation among coefficients

Classify discrete symmetry groups of 4HDM scalar sector

- For $A$ in $\mathbb{Z}_{8}, \mathbb{Z}_{7}, \mathbb{Z}_{6}, \mathbb{Z}_{5}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{3}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ do:
- Find out $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$
- Determine and solve equation $b^{-1} a b=a^{\prime}$
- Find out the group, see whether it's a symmetry of 4HDM potential


## [6] Example --- extending $Z_{5}$ model

- Using 4HDM toolbox https://github.com/JiazhenShao/4HDM-Toolbox.git
- Unique choice of $Z_{5} 4 \mathrm{HDM}$ model, Invariant under $Z_{5} \simeq\langle a\rangle$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
V\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right)= & V_{0}+\lambda_{1}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{3}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+\lambda_{4}\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{5}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+h . c . \\
V_{0}= & \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left[m_{i i}^{2}\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{i}\right)+\Lambda_{i i}\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{i}\right)^{2}\right]+\sum_{i<j}\left[\Lambda_{i j}\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{i}\right)\left(\phi_{j}^{\dagger} \phi_{j}\right)+\tilde{\Lambda}_{i j}\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{j}\right)\left(\phi_{j}^{\dagger} \phi_{i}\right)\right] \\
a= & \eta \cdot \operatorname{diag}\left(\eta, \eta^{2}, \eta^{3}, 1\right)=\operatorname{diag}\left(\eta^{2}, \eta^{-2}, \eta^{-1}, \eta\right), \quad \eta \equiv e^{2 \pi i / 5}, \quad \eta^{5}=1
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{gathered}
b^{-1} a b=a^{2} \Leftrightarrow a b=b a^{2} \\
a=\operatorname{diag}\left(\eta^{2}, \eta^{-2}, \eta^{-1}, \eta\right), \quad \eta \equiv e^{2 \pi i / 5}, \quad \eta^{5}=1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\longrightarrow b=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & b_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & b_{23} & 0 \\ b_{31} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b_{42} & 0 & 0\end{array}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { Absorb in } \phi_{i}} b=i^{1 / 2} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccc}0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$
$G A(1,5) \simeq\left\langle a, b \mid a^{5}=b^{4}=e, b^{-1} a b=a^{2}\right\rangle$


$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{5}\right) & =\lambda_{1}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{3}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+\lambda_{4}\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right) \\
& +\lambda_{5}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+h . c .
\end{aligned}
$$

Invariance under


- 10 real free parameters
- $\lambda, \lambda^{\prime}$ can be complex
$V^{\prime}(G A(1,5))=\lambda\left[\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\right]$

$$
+\lambda^{\prime}\left[\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right]+\text { h.c. }
$$

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \begin{aligned}
& \phi_{1} \longleftrightarrow \phi_{2} \\
& \phi_{4} \longleftrightarrow
\end{aligned} \phi_{3}
$$

- 18 real free parameters
- $\lambda_{i}$ can be complex

$$
D_{5} \simeq\left\langle a, b \mid a^{5}=b^{2}=e, b^{-1} a b=a^{4}\right\rangle
$$

Subgroup of GA(1,5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
V^{\prime}\left(D_{5}\right) & =\lambda_{1}\left[\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)+\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\right]+\lambda_{2}\left[\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\right] \\
& +\lambda_{5}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+h . c .
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Some model can't be extended: $Z_{8}$
2. CP conservation: $T_{7}$
3. Many choices of
$Z_{6}, Z_{4}$ models
4. Some model $Z_{4}$,has different extensions: $D_{4}, Q_{4}$--- appendix B
5. Novel case: $G A(1,5)$

| A | extension | $G \quad\|G\|$ | irreps |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | - | - - | - |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{3} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ | $1+1+2$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{4} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{4} \cdot \mathbb{Z}_{2}{ }^{4} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} D_{4} & 8 \\ Q_{4} & 8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1+1+2 \text { or } 2+2 \\ 1+1+2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{Z}_{5} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4} \\ & \mathbb{Z}_{5} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{cc} G A(1,5) & 50 \\ D_{5} & 10 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \\ 2+2 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6} 3$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $D_{6} \quad 12$ | $1+1+2$ or $2+2$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{7} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ | $T_{7} 221$ | $1+3$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | -1 | - | - |

- The rest $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}, \mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$

Much more involved. Why? For starters,

- $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{2} \times \mathrm{Z}_{2} \times \mathrm{Z}_{2}\right) \simeq S L(3,2),|S L(3,2)|=168,179$ subgroups
- $\operatorname{Aut}\left(Z_{4} \times \mathrm{Z}_{4}\right) \simeq G L\left(2, Z_{4}\right),\left|G L\left(2, Z_{4}\right)\right|=96,234$ subgroups
- $Z_{4} \times Z_{4}$ : involves transformations other than rephasing \& permutation

－Me： $4^{\text {th }}$ year undergraduate．Seeking graduate supervisors．
－Really welcome to discuss if we share interests


## [A1] The list of automorphism groups

| $A$ | $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ |  | $A$ | $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |  | $\{e\}$ |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $S_{3}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $D_{4}$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{5}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $S L(3,2) \simeq P S L(2,7)$ |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |  | $\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4}$ | $G L(2, \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z})$ |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{7}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbb{Z}_{8}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |  |  |  |

Table 1: The list of all finite abelian symmetry groups $A$ of the 4 HDM scalar sector and their automorphism groups $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$.


Option 1:
Split extension

$$
D_{4} \simeq\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}=e, b^{2}=e, b^{-1} a b=a^{3}\right\rangle
$$

- 13 real free parameters in $V^{\prime}\left(D_{4}\right)$
- $D_{4}$ have a copy of $Z_{2}=\langle b\rangle$

Option 2:
Non-split

$$
Q_{4} \simeq\left\langle a, b \mid a^{4}=e, b^{2}=a^{2}, b^{-1} a b=a^{3}\right\rangle
$$

- 9 real free parameters in $V^{\prime}\left(Q_{4}\right)$--- less but not too few
- $Q_{4}$ don't have a copy of $Z_{2}=\langle b\rangle$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{1}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right) & =\lambda_{1}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{2}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)+\lambda_{3}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)^{2} \\
& +\lambda_{4}\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{1}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)+\lambda_{5}\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{3}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{6}\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)^{2} \\
& +\lambda_{7}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)+\lambda_{8}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{4}^{\dagger} \phi_{2}\right)+\lambda_{9}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)+\lambda_{10}\left(\phi_{1}^{\dagger} \phi_{4}\right)\left(\phi_{2}^{\dagger} \phi_{3}\right)+h . c .
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

$$
b^{\prime \prime}=i^{c}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & -i \sigma & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & i
\end{array}\right)
$$

$\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}, \quad \lambda_{3}=\lambda_{6}, \quad \lambda_{4}=\lambda_{5}, \quad \lambda_{7}, \lambda_{8} \in \mathbb{R}$.
$\lambda_{5}=\sigma \lambda_{1}, \quad \lambda_{10}=\sigma \lambda_{7}^{*}, \quad \lambda_{9}=\sigma \lambda_{8}^{*}, \quad \lambda_{3} \in \mathbb{R}$

## ［C1］Why tolerate $i^{r}$ factor？more detailed reasoning

## Most general $G L(4, C)$



Overall $U(1)$ invariance automatically satisfied

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Projective } U(4) / U(1) \simeq \\
P S U(4)
\end{gathered}
$$

－$a$ is $\operatorname{PSU}(4)$ transformation
－Represented by $S U(4)$ matrix factor out the center of $S U(4)$
－The center（elements commuting with all others）looks like ：$\left\{\mathbf{1}_{4}, i \mathbf{1}_{4},-\mathbf{1}_{4},-i \mathbf{1}_{4}\right\}$
－Elements of $\operatorname{PSU}(4)$ ：cosets $\{a, i a,-a,-i a\}$ with $a \in S U(4)$
$a$ is $P S U(4)$ transformation，technically represented by $S U(4)$ matrix with $i^{r}, r=$ 1，2，3，4 difference ignored．

- How to solve $b^{-1} a b=a^{3}$ ? $b$ as $U(4)$ matrix
- Multiply on the left by $b$
- $\Rightarrow a b=b a^{3}$
- $V\left[\left(\phi_{i}^{\dagger} \phi_{j}\right)\right]$ don't feel overall phase shift $\mathrm{U}(4) / \mathrm{U}(1) \simeq S U(4) / Z_{4}$
- $\Rightarrow a b=b a^{3} \cdot i^{r}, r=0,1,2,3 \quad b$ as $S U(4)$ matrix
- We can write the matrix explicitly
－Automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{8}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$
－Three $b$ choices，$b^{2}=e$

－Just to check：$\quad a \mapsto a^{3} \mapsto a^{9}=a ; a^{2} \mapsto a^{6} \mapsto a^{18}=a^{2} \ldots$
－$b^{-1} a b=a^{n}, n=3,-1,5$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& b=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
b_{11} & b_{12} & b_{13} & b_{14} \\
b_{21} & b_{22} & b_{23} & b_{24} \\
b_{31} & b_{32} & b_{33} & b_{34} \\
b_{41} & b_{42} & b_{43} & b_{44}
\end{array}\right), \quad a=\eta^{1 / 4} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\eta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \eta^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \eta^{4} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right) \\
& \eta^{1 / 4}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\eta b_{11} & \eta b_{12} & \eta b_{13} & \eta b_{14} \\
\eta^{2} b_{21} & \eta^{2} b_{22} & \eta^{2} b_{23} & \eta^{2} b_{b_{24}} \\
\eta^{4} b_{31} & \eta^{4} b_{32} & \eta^{4} b_{33} & \eta^{4} b_{34} \\
b_{41} & b_{42} & b_{43} & b_{44}
\end{array}\right)=\eta^{3 / 4} \eta^{2 r}\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\eta^{3} b_{11} & \eta^{6} b_{12} & \eta^{4} b_{13} & b_{14} \\
\eta^{3} b_{21} & \eta^{6} b_{22} & \eta^{4} b_{23} & b_{24} \\
\eta^{3} b_{31} & \eta^{6} b_{32} & \eta^{4} b_{33} & b_{34} \\
\eta^{3} b_{41} & \eta^{6} b_{42} & \eta^{4} b_{43} & b_{44}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Compare term by term－－－－no $b \in P S U(4)$ solutions
$\Rightarrow$ same goes for $n=-1,5$ ．sometimes have non－zero $b_{i j}$ ，but not enough to make $b$ invertable

## rephasing

## abelian

$\mathbb{Z}_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{4} \quad a_{1}=\sqrt{i} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{cccc}i & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right), \quad a_{2}=\sqrt{i} \cdot\left(\begin{array}{llll}0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}\right)$

- Commute in PSU(4): $a_{1} a_{2}=-i a_{2} a_{1}$
- $a_{2}$ : another type of symmetry

$$
b=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad a=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
e^{i \theta_{1}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta_{2}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{i \theta_{3}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i \theta_{4}}
\end{array}\right), \quad a^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
e^{i \theta_{2}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & e^{i \theta_{1}} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & e^{i \theta_{4}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & e^{i \theta_{3}}
\end{array}\right)
$$



