The Hunt for the Axion.

Andreas Ringwald

Scalars 2017 University of Warsaw Warsaw, PL 30 November - 3 December 2017





Strong Case for Physics beyond the Standard Model

Standard Model (SM) describes interactions of all known particles with remarkable accuracy





Strong Case for Physics beyond the Standard Model

- Standard Model (SM) describes interactions of all known particles with remarkable accuracy
- Big fundamental problems in particle physics and cosmology seem to require new physics
 - Dark matter
 - Neutrino masses and mixing
 - Baryon asymmetry
 - Inflation
 - Strong CP problem



[PLANCK]



> Most general gauge invariant Lagrangian of QCD:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \,\theta \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

• Parameters: strong coupling α_s , quark masses $\mathcal{M}_q = \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, \ldots)$ and theta angle θ [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]



Most general gauge invariant Lagrangian of QCD:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \,\theta \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Parameters: strong coupling α_s , quark masses $\mathcal{M}_q = \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, \ldots)$ and theta angle θ [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]
- > Topological theta term $\propto G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \propto \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$ violates P and T, and thus CP



Most general gauge invariant Lagrangian of QCD:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \,\theta \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Parameters: strong coupling α_s , quark masses $\mathcal{M}_q = \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, \ldots)$ and theta angle θ [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]
- > Topological theta term $\propto G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \propto \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$ violates P and T, and thus CP
- Most sensitive probe of P and T violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment of neutron





Most general gauge invariant Lagrangian of QCD:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \,\theta \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Parameters: strong coupling α_s , quark masses $\mathcal{M}_q = \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, \ldots)$ and theta angle θ [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]
- > Topological theta term $\propto G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \propto \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$ violates P and T, and thus CP
- Most sensitive probe of P and T violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment of neutron; prediction:

$$d_n \sim \frac{1}{m_n^2} \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d} \,\theta \,e \sim 6 \times 10^{-17} \,\theta \,e\,\mathrm{cm}$$





Most general gauge invariant Lagrangian of QCD:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{QCD}} = -\frac{1}{4} G^a_{\mu\nu} G^{a,\mu\nu} + \overline{q} \left(i\gamma_\mu D^\mu - \mathcal{M}_q \right) q - \frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \,\theta \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu}$$

- Parameters: strong coupling α_s , quark masses $\mathcal{M}_q = \operatorname{diag}(m_u, m_d, \ldots)$ and theta angle θ [Belavin et al. `75;'t Hooft 76;Callan et al. `76;Jackiw,Rebbi `76]
- > Topological theta term $\propto G^a_{\mu\nu}\tilde{G}^{a,\mu\nu} \propto \mathbf{E}^a \cdot \mathbf{B}^a$ violates P and T, and thus CP
- Most sensitive probe of P and T violation in flavor conserving interactions: electric dipole moment of neutron; prediction:

$$d_n \sim \frac{1}{m_n^2} \frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d} \,\theta \,e \sim 6 \times 10^{-17} \,\theta \,e\,\mathrm{cm}$$

Experiment: [Baker et al. 06]

$$|d_n| < 2.9 \times 10^{-26} \ e \,\mathrm{cm} \Rightarrow |\theta| < 10^{-9}$$





Axionic Solution of Strong CP Problem

> Peccei-Quinn (PQ) solution of strong CP problem based on observation that the vacuum energy in QCD has localised minimum at $\theta = 0$:

$$\epsilon_{0}(\theta) \simeq \Sigma(m_{u} + m_{d}) \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{m_{u}^{2} + m_{d}^{2} + 2m_{u}m_{d}\cos\theta}}{m_{u} + m_{d}} \right)$$
 [Di Vecchia, Veneziano `80;
Leutwyler, Smilga 92]
$$\Sigma = -\langle \bar{u}u \rangle = -\langle \bar{d}d \rangle$$





† Δ

Axionic Solution of Strong CP Problem

> Peccei-Quinn (PQ) solution of strong CP problem based on observation that the vacuum energy in QCD has localised minimum at $\theta = 0$:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) \simeq \Sigma(m_u + m_d) \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 2m_u m_d \cos \theta}}{m_u + m_d} \right)$$

[Di Vecchia,Veneziano `80; Leutwyler,Smilga 92]

> If θ were a dynamical field, its vev would be zero





Axionic Solution of Strong CP Problem

> Peccei-Quinn (PQ) solution of strong CP problem based on observation that the vacuum energy in QCD has localised minimum at $\theta = 0$:

$$\epsilon_0(\theta) \simeq \Sigma(m_u + m_d) \left(1 - \frac{\sqrt{m_u^2 + m_d^2 + 2m_u m_d \cos \theta}}{m_u + m_d} \right)$$

[Di Vecchia,Veneziano `80; Leutwyler,Smilga 92]

- > If θ were a dynamical field, its vev would be zero
- > Add to SM angular field $\theta_A(x) \equiv A(x)/f_A$, respecting a shift symmetry $\theta_A(x) \rightarrow \theta_A(x) + \text{const.}$, broken only by coupling to topological density,

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \left[\theta + \theta_A(x)\right] G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\,\mu\nu}$$

- Can eliminate θ by shift $\theta_A(x) \to \theta_A(x) \theta$; effective potential $V(\theta_A) \equiv \epsilon_0(\theta_A)$ predicts vanishing vev, $\langle \theta_A(x) \rangle = 0$, i.e. P, T, and CP conserved [Peccei,Quinn 77]
- Particle excitation of A: Nambu-Goldstone boson "axion" [Weinberg 78; Wilczek 78]
- Mass $m_A \simeq \frac{\sqrt{\Sigma}}{f_A} \sqrt{\frac{m_u m_d}{m_u + m_d}} \simeq \frac{m_\pi f_\pi}{f_A} \frac{\sqrt{m_u m_d}}{m_u + m_d} \simeq 6 \text{ meV}\left(\frac{10^9 \text{ GeV}}{f_A}\right)$ and strength of its interactions with SM controlled by decay constant f_A

and strength of its interactions with SM controlled by decay constant f_A

Renormalizable UV Completion of SM Predicting Axion

- A singlet complex scalar field σ featuring a global U(1)_{PQ} symmetry is added to SM
- > Symmetry is broken by vev $\langle \sigma \rangle = v_{\rm PQ}/\sqrt{2}$
 - $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{\mathrm{PQ}} + \rho(x) \right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}A(x)/v_{\mathrm{PQ}}}$
 - Excitation of modulus: $m_
 ho \sim v_{
 m PQ}$
 - Excitation of angle: NGB $m_A = 0$



[Raffelt]



Renormalizable UV Completion of SM Predicting Axion

- A singlet complex scalar field σ featuring a global U(1)_{PQ} symmetry is added to SM
- > Symmetry is broken by vev $\langle \sigma \rangle = v_{\rm PQ}/\sqrt{2}$

$$\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{\rm PQ} + \rho(x) \right) e^{iA(x)/v_{\rm PQ}}$$

- Excitation of modulus: $m_{
 ho} \sim v_{
 m PQ}$
- Excitation of angle: NGB $m_A = 0$
- Quarks (SM or extra) carry PQ charges such that U(1)_{PQ} is anomalously broken due to gluonic triangle anomaly

$$\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}_{U(1)_{\rm PQ}} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} N G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\,\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} E F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$





Renormalizable UV Completion of SM Predicting Axion

- A singlet complex scalar field σ featuring a global U(1)_{PQ} symmetry is added to SM
- > Symmetry is broken by vev $\langle \sigma \rangle = v_{\rm PQ}/\sqrt{2}$
 - $\sigma(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(v_{\mathrm{PQ}} + \rho(x) \right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}A(x)/v_{\mathrm{PQ}}}$
 - Excitation of modulus: $m_
 ho \sim v_{
 m PQ}$
 - Excitation of angle: NGB $m_A = 0$
- Quarks (SM or extra) carry PQ charges such that U(1)_{PQ} is anomalously broken due to gluonic triangle anomaly

$$\partial_{\mu}J^{\mu}_{U(1)_{\mathrm{PQ}}} = -\frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} N G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\,\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} E F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$





> Low energy effective field theory at ener- [Peccei,Quinn 77; Weinberg 78; Wilczek 78] gies below $v \ll v_{PQ}$, but above Λ_{QCD} :

$$\mathcal{L} \supset -\frac{\alpha_s}{8\pi} \, \frac{A(x)}{f_A} \, G^a_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{a\,\mu\nu} - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \, \frac{E}{N} \, \frac{A(x)}{f_A} \, F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}; \quad f_A = v_{\rm PQ}/N$$



Axion Couplings to SM at Energies Below QCD Scale

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} A \partial^{\mu} A - \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A^2 - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \frac{C_{A\gamma}}{f_A} A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{Af}}{f_A} \partial_{\mu} A \overline{\psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi_f$$
Axion mass: $m_A = 57.0(7) \left(\frac{10^{11} \text{ GeV}}{f_A}\right) \mu \text{eV}$
[Grilli di Cortona et al. `16]



Axion Couplings to SM at Energies Below QCD Scale

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} A \partial^{\mu} A - \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A^2 - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \frac{C_{A\gamma}}{f_A} A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{Af}}{f_A} \partial_{\mu} A \ \overline{\psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi_f$$

> Axion mass: $m_A = 57.0(7) \left(\frac{10^{11} \,\text{GeV}}{f_A}\right) \mu \text{eV}$

[Grilli di Cortona et al. `16 ; Borsanyi et al. `16]

Couplings of axion to SM suppressed by powers of

$$f_A = v_{\rm PQ}/N \gg v = 246 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

rendering the axion "invisible"

[Kim 79;Shifman,Vainshtein,Zakharov 80;Zhitnitsky 80;Dine,Fischler,Srednicki 81;...]



Axion Couplings to SM at Energies Below QCD Scale

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} A \partial^{\mu} A - \frac{1}{2} m_A^2 A^2 - \frac{\alpha}{8\pi} \frac{C_{A\gamma}}{f_A} A F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{C_{Af}}{f_A} \partial_{\mu} A \ \overline{\psi}_f \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_5 \psi_f$$

> Axion mass: $m_A = 57.0(7) \left(\frac{10^{11} \,\text{GeV}}{f_A}\right) \mu \text{eV}$

[Grilli di Cortona et al. `16 ; Borsanyi et al. `16]

Couplings of axion to SM suppressed by powers of

$$f_A = v_{\rm PQ}/N \gg v = 246 \,\mathrm{GeV}$$

rendering the axion "invisible"

[Kim 79;Shifman,Vainshtein,Zakharov 80;Zhitnitsky 80;Dine,Fischler,Srednicki 81;...]

> Photon coupling: $C_{A\gamma} = \frac{E}{N} - 1.92(4)$

> Nucleon couplings:

[Kaplan 85;Srednicki `85]

[Grilli di Cortona et al. `16]

$$\begin{split} C_{Ap} &= -0.47(3) + 0.88(3)C_{Au} - 0.39(2)C_{Ad} - 0.038(5)C_{As} \\ &\quad -0.012(5)C_{Ac} - 0.009(2)C_{Ab} - 0.0035(4)C_{At} \,, \\ C_{An} &= -0.02(3) + 0.88(3)C_{Ad} - 0.39(2)C_{Au} - 0.038(5)C_{As} \\ &\quad -0.012(5)C_{Ac} - 0.009(2)C_{Ab} - 0.0035(4)C_{At} \end{split}$$
Electron coupling very model-dependent



> Axion field is born after PQ symmetry breaking, $T \leq T_c^{PQ} \sim v_{PQ} = N f_A$

Unbroken Symmetry

Broken Symmetry



[Peking University]



> DM from vacuum realignment:

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion takes random initial value and is frozen at this value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

$$w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$$





DM from vacuum realignment: >

[Preskill, Wise, Wilczek 83; Abbott, Sikivie 83; Dine, Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion takes random initial value and is frozen at this value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

$$w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$$



> DM from vacuum realignment:

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion takes random initial value and is frozen at this value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

 $w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$

- Crucial lattice QCD input for prediction of axion DM abundance:
 - Equation of state at temperatures around 1 GeV: determines H(T)





> DM from vacuum realignment:

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

- In causally connected region at phase transition, axion takes random initial value and is frozen at this value
- Later when $H(T) \sim m_A(T)$, axion field starts to oscillate around zero; behaves like cold dark matter:

 $w_A = p_A / \rho_A \simeq 0$

- Crucial lattice QCD input for prediction of axion DM abundance:
 - Equation of state at temperatures around 1 GeV: determines $\, H(T) \,$
 - Topological susceptibility:

 $\chi(T)\equiv \int d^4x \langle q(x)q(0)\rangle_T$ determines $m^2_A(T)=\chi(T)/f^2_A$



If PQ symmetry broken before or during inflation and not restored afterwards (pre-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

 Random initial axion value in patch which becomes observable universe



Pre-inflationary PQ symmetry breaking scenario

If PQ symmetry broken before or during inflation and not restored afterwards (pre-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)

[Preskill,Wise,Wilczek 83; Abbott,Sikivie 83; Dine,Fischler 83,....]

- Random initial axion value in patch which becomes observable universe
- Axion CDM density depends on single initial angle and *f_A*

$$\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 \approx 0.12 \left(\frac{f_A}{9 \times 10^{11} \text{ GeV}}\right)^{1.165} \theta_{\rm i}^2$$
$$\approx 0.12 \left(\frac{6 \ \mu \text{eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.165} \theta_{\rm i}^2,$$





- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random; naive average:

$$\Omega_A^{\rm vr} h^2 \approx 0.12 \, \left(\frac{30 \,\,\mu {\rm eV}}{m_A}\right)^{1.165}$$





- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random
 - At PQ phase transition, network of axionic cosmic strings created by Kibble mechanism



[ctc.cam.ac.uk]



[Uhlmann et al. `10]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random
 - At PQ phase transition, network of axionic cosmic strings created by Kibble mechanism



[Hiramatsu et al.]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random
 - At PQ phase transition, network of axionic cosmic strings created by Kibble mechanism
 - Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$





- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random
 - At PQ phase transition, network of axionic cosmic strings created by Kibble mechanism
 - Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$

 ${\cal N}$ domain walls end at string





- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random
 - At PQ phase transition, network of axionic cosmic strings created by Kibble mechanism
 - Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$

 ${\cal N}\,$ domain walls end at string

• N = 1: String-wall system decays



[Hiramatsu et al.]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - Initial axion values at points of post-inflationary causal contact are random
 - At PQ phase transition, network of axionic cosmic strings created by Kibble mechanism
 - Around QCD phase transition, axion potential develops,

$$V(A,T) = \chi(T) \left[1 - \cos\left(N\frac{A}{v_{\rm PQ}}\right) \right]$$

N domain walls end at string

- N = 1 : String-wall system decays
- N > 1 : Domain wall problem

N = 3

$$N=1$$



[Hiramatsu et al.]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - For N = 1, exploiting results from field theoretic lattice simulations, updated to latest determination of topological susceptibility, find CDM explained for

 $m_A \approx (30-200) \,\mu \text{eV}$

[Hiramatsu et al. 11,12,13; Kawasaki,Saikawa,Segikuchi 15; Borsanyi et al. 16; Ballesteros et al. 16]

Large uncertainty due to extrapolation of string tension to physical value

New method allows to simulate directly at physical string tension, resulting in

 $m_A = (26.2 \pm 3.4) \ \mu \text{eV}$ [Klaer,Moore `17]



- If Peccei-Quinn symmetry restored after inflation (post-inflationary PQ breaking scenario)
 - For N = 1, exploiting results from field theoretic lattice simulations, updated to latest determination of topological susceptibility, find CDM explained for

 $m_A \approx (30-200) \,\mu \text{eV}$

[Hiramatsu et al. 11,12,13; Kawasaki,Saikawa,Segikuchi 15; Borsanyi et al. 16; Ballesteros et al. 16]

Large uncertainty due to extrapolation of string tension to physical value

New method allows to simulate directly at physical string tension, resulting in

 $m_A = (26.2 \pm 3.4) \ \mu \text{eV}$ [Klaer,Moore `17]

• For N > 1, domain wall problem can be avoided if PQ symmetry explicitly broken, e.g. by Planck suppressed operators, $\mathcal{L} \supset gM_{\rm P}^4 (\sigma/M_{\rm P})^{\mathcal{N}} + {\rm h.c.}$, for $\mathcal{N} = 9, 10$,

 $0.2 \,\mathrm{meV} \lesssim m_A \lesssim 50 \,\mathrm{meV}$ [AR,Saikawa `16; Giannotti et al `17]



Axion Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments

> Current bounds from axion dark matter experiments:





Axion Dark Matter Direct Detection Experiments



Evolution of stars (Main Sequence – Red-Giant (RG) – Helium Burning (HB) – White Dwarf (WD)) sensitive to additional energy losses



[Copyright Addison Wesley]



Practically every stellar systems seems to be cooling faster than predicted by models



[Giannotti, Irastorza, Redondo, AR '15; Giannotti, Irastorza, Redondo, AR, Saikawa '17]



Excessive energy losses of HBs, RG, WDs can be explained at one stroke by production of axion/ALP with coupling to photons and electrons:



Andreas Ringwald | The Hunt for the Axion, Scalars 2017, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, PL, 30 November - 3 December 2017 | Page 38

Excessive energy losses of HBs, RG, WDs can be explained at one stroke by production of axion/ALP with coupling to photons and electrons and probed by next generation experiments:



Excessive energy losses of HBs, RG, WDs can be explained at one stroke by production of axion with coupling to photons and electrons, e.g. for DFSZ axion model:



$$f_a = \frac{v_{\rm PQ}}{6}, \quad \tan\beta = \frac{v_u}{v_d}$$

ARIADNE

$$C_{a\gamma} = \frac{8}{3} - 1.92(4), \quad C_{ae} = \frac{1}{3}\sin^2\beta$$



Glarinotti, irastorza, iteuorido, Art, Saikawa 17]

> $|\sigma| = \rho/\sqrt{2}$ or mixture with Higgs modulus may play role of inflaton, if it has non-minimal coupling to gravity,

$$S \supset -\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \,\xi_\sigma \,\sigma^* \sigma R$$

[Fairbairn,Hogan,Marsh `14]



> $|\sigma| = \rho/\sqrt{2}$ or mixture with Higgs modulus may play role of inflaton, if it has non-minimal coupling to gravity,

$$S \supset -\int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \,\xi_\sigma \,\sigma^* \sigma R$$

[Fairbairn,Hogan,Marsh `14]

CMB observables

$$A_s = (2.20 \pm 0.08) imes 10^{-9} \,,$$

 $n_s = 0.967 \pm 0.004 \,,$
 $r < 0.07$
fit by

$$\xi\simeq 2\times 10^5 \sqrt{\lambda}\gtrsim 10^{-3}$$



[Ballesteros, Redondo, AR, Tamarit `16]



- PQ symmetry restored after inflation already in preheating stage when PQ field undergoes Hubble damped oscillations in quartic potential
- > Axion mass in classic window: $30 \,\mu \text{eV} \lesssim m_A \lesssim 50 \,\text{meV}$



[Ballesteros, Redondo, AR, Tamarit `16]



- PQ symmetry restored after inflation already in preheating stage when PQ field undergoes Hubble damped oscillations in quartic potential
- > Axion mass in classic window: $30 \,\mu \mathrm{eV} \lesssim m_A \lesssim 50 \,\mathrm{meV}$
- Large reheating temperature
 - $10^{10} \,\mathrm{GeV}$ for mixed PQ scalar/Higgs inflation $(\lambda_{H\sigma} < 0)$
- > Axion dark radiation:

$$\Delta N_{\nu}^{\rm eff} \simeq 0.03$$



> Sharp prediction of r vs n_s for fixed pivot scale, e.g. $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$





> Sharp prediction of r vs n_s for fixed pivot scale, e.g. $k_0 = 0.002 \text{ Mpc}^{-1}$



Can be probed by next generation CMB experiments (e.g. CMB-S4)



- > Augmenting axion models with three SM singlet neutrinos, getting their Majorana masses also through the vev $v_{\sigma} = N f_A$
 - no strong CP problem
 - dark matter
 - inflation
 - neutrino masses and mixing
 - baryogenesis via leptogenesis

[Dias et al. `14; Ballesteros et al. `16]





- > Augmenting axion models with three SM singlet neutrinos, getting their Majorana masses also through the vev $v_{\sigma} = N f_A$
 - no strong CP problem
 - dark matter
 - inflation
 - neutrino masses and mixing
 - baryogenesis via leptogenesis

[Dias et al. `14; Ballesteros et al. `16]

Complete and consistent history of the universe from inflation to now



[Ballesteros, Redondo, AR, Tamarit `16]



- > Augmenting axion models with three SM singlet neutrinos, getting their Majorana masses also through the vev $v_{\sigma} = N f_A$
 - no strong CP problem
 - dark matter
 - inflation
 - neutrino masses and mixing
 - baryogenesis via leptogenesis

[Dias et al. `14; Ballesteros et al. `16]

- Complete and consistent history of the universe from inflation to now
- > SO(10) GUT SMASH?

[Ernst,AR,Tamarit in prep.]

> Minimal $SO(10) \times U(1)_{PQ}$ models:

	16_F	$\overline{126}_H$	10_H	210_{H}	45_H	S	10_F	N
Model 1	1	-2	-2	4	_	—	—	3
Model 2.1	1	-2	-2	0	4	—	—	3
Model 2.2	1	-2	-2	0	4	_	-2	1
Model 3.1	1	-2	-2	0	_	4	_	3
Model 3.2	1	-2	-2	0	_	4	-2	1

 $SO(10) \xrightarrow{M_{\rm U}-210_H} SU(4)_C \times SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$

 $\stackrel{M_{\rm BL}-\overline{126}_H}{\longrightarrow} SU(3)_C \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$



- Augmenting axion models with three SM singlet neutrinos, getting their Majorana masses also through the vev $v_{\sigma} = N f_A$
 - no strong CP problem
 - dark matter
 - inflation
 - neutrino masses and mixing
 - baryogenesis via leptogenesis

[Dias et al. `14; Ballesteros et al. `16]

- Complete and consistent history of the universe from inflation to now
- > SO(10) GUT SMASH?

Minimal $SO(10) \times U(1)_{PQ}$ models:										
	16_F	$\overline{126}_H$	10_H	210_{H}	45_H	S	10_F	N		
Model 1	1	-2	-2	4	_	_	—	3		
Model 2.1	1	-2	-2	0	4	_	_	3		
Model 2.2	1	-2	-2	0	4	_	-2	1		
Model 3.1	1	-2	-2	0	_	4	_	3		
Model 3.2	1	-2	-2	0	_	4	-2	1		

- $> 16_F$ automatically features:
 - Neutrino masses and mixing
 - Baryogenesis via leptogenesis
- > PQ extension adds

[Bajc et al. 06; Altarelli, Meloni 13; Babu, Khan 15]

- Predictivity of fermion masses/mixing
- Solution of strong CP problem
- Axion dark matter



[Ernst,AR,Tamarit in prep.]



Andreas Ringwald | The Hunt for the Axion, Scalars 2017, University of Warsaw, Warsaw, PL, 30 November - 3 December 2017 | Page 51

DESY

Conclusions

Strong physics case for axion:

- Axion occurs naturally as NG boson from breaking of well motivated symmetry
- Solution of strong CP problem
- Candidate for dark matter
- PQ field may even provide inflaton
- Explanation of excessiv energy losses of
- Strong motivation for experimental searches of axions
- Number of axion experiments strongly growing



Lab experiments 2011



Lab experiments 2017



Back-Up: Vacuum Stability

- SM-singlet scalar \(\sigma\) helps to stabilize scalar potential in Higgs direction through threshold effect associated with Higgs portal
 - When ρ integrated out, Higgs portal gives negative contribution ot Higgs quartic,

$$\overline{\lambda}_H(m_h) = \lambda_H - \lambda_{H\sigma}^2 / \lambda_\sigma \big|_{\mu = m_h}$$

• At energies above $m_{
ho}$, true (and larger!) value of λ_H is revealed by integrating ho in



Back-Up: Vacuum Stability

Stability in σ direction threatened by quantum corrections due to righthanded neutrinos and exotic quark, unless





Back-Up: DM Axion Mass in Post-Inflationary PQSB Case

 $\kappa = \ln(\sqrt{2\lambda_{\sigma}}v_{\mathrm{PQ}}/H) \in [48, 67]$

controls string tension

- For large κ, string's long-range interactions become less important relative to string evolution under tension
 - For $\kappa \gg 1$, string behavior should go over to that of local (Nambu-Goto) strings
 - Simulation of evolution of PQ field alone can be done only at $\kappa < 7$
- New method: exploit UV extension of PQ field theory reducing in IR to Nambu-Goto string plus axion
- > Axion production smaller than angleaverage of ``realignment'' mechanism

 $m_A = 26.2 \pm 3.4 \,\mu \text{eV}$





Back-Up: Axion Miniclusters

- Recall axion cosmology in postinflationary PQSB scenario:
 - At PQSB, topological defects and large amplitude axion field fluctuations present on scales of order the horizon
 - Kibble mechanism smoothes axion field on horizon scale until around QCD phase transition, when mass becomes relevant
 - At this epoch, topological defects decay (assume N = 1), and axion field left with large amplitude isocurvature fluctuations on the horizon scale
 - At matter-radiation equality, isocurva- 0.001 ture perturbations converted into curvature perturbations, and promptly collapse into dense bound structures of DM known as axion miniclusters (MC) [Hogan,Rees `88;Kolb,Tkachev `93]
 Gravitational Microlensing by MC



