Improved analysis of the CLFV process: $\mu^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ in muonic atom

Masato Yamanaka (Nagoya Univ., Japan)

M. Koike, Y. Kuno, J. Sato, M.Y., Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 121601 Y. Kuno, J. Sato, T. Sato, Y. Uesaka, M.Y., arXiv:1601.XXXXX



Search for charged lepton flavor violation (cLFV)

☑ cLFV is an evidence of extension of scalar sector

☑ Various channels (e.g., $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, μ -*e* conversion, …)

Each reaction rate strongly depends on model structure

 \square cLFV search = One of the leading probe to new physics

 \square New cLFV reaction = big boost to understanding new physics

New process to search for cLFV

☑ New cLFV process: $\mu^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ in muonic atom

[M. Koike, Y. Kuno, J. Sato, and M.Y., PRL105 (2010)]

☑ Sensitive to both photonic dipole cLFV and 4-Fermi contact cLFV

- ☑ Clean signal: back-to-back dielectron
- Useful to distinguish theoretical models by strong dependence on nucleus in the muonic atom

☑ One of the process searched for at COMET experiment (2016~)

☑ Important and worth investigating precisely

Aim of this work and outline of this talk

Review of previous work

■ New process: $\mu^- e^- \rightarrow e^- e^-$ in muonic atom

Reaction rate

☑ Shortcoming and improvements

Shortcomings for final electrons and initial leptons

How to improve

☑ Numerical results

Comparison of new and previous results

Large enhancement of the reaction rate

$\mu^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ in muonic atom

Muonic atom

☑ Useful for various physics

- Precision test of QED
- M-e conversion search
- Muon catalyzed fusion



$\mu^-e^- \rightarrow e^-e^-$ in muonic atom



Cross section of cLFV elemental process

☑ cLFV effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu^{-}e^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}e^{-}} = -\frac{4G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[m_{\mu}A_{\rm R} \,\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{\rm L} F_{\mu\nu} + m_{\mu}A_{\rm L} \,\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{\rm R} F_{\mu\nu} + g_{1} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} e_{\rm L}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm R}} e_{\rm L}\right) + g_{2} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} e_{\rm R}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm L}} e_{\rm R}\right) + g_{3} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm R}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) + g_{4} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm L}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) + g_{5} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm L}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) + g_{6} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm R}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) + (\mathrm{H.c.}) \Big]$$

- ☑ Calculated in the standard way, i.e., by using plane wave
- ☑ Reaction rate

$$\Gamma(\mu^{-}e^{-} \to e^{-}e^{-}; Z) = 2\sigma v_{\rm rel} |\psi_{1\rm S}^{(e)}(0; Z-1)|^2$$

Cross section of cLFV elemental process

 \square cLFV effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu^{-}e^{-}\rightarrow e^{-}e^{-}} = -\frac{4G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[m_{\mu}A_{\rm R} \,\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{\rm L} F_{\mu\nu} + m_{\mu}A_{\rm L} \,\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{\rm R} F_{\mu\nu} + g_{1} \big(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} e_{\rm L}\big) \big(\overline{e_{\rm R}} e_{\rm L}\big) + g_{2} \big(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} e_{\rm R}\big) \big(\overline{e_{\rm L}} e_{\rm R}\big) + g_{3} \big(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm R}\big) \big(\overline{e_{\rm R}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm R}\big) + g_{4} \big(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm L}\big) \big(\overline{e_{\rm L}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm L}\big) + g_{5} \big(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm R}\big) \big(\overline{e_{\rm L}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm L}\big) + g_{6} \big(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm L}\big) \big(\overline{e_{\rm R}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm R}\big) + (\mathrm{H.c.})\Big]$$

Focused part in this talk

Overlap of wave functions



- Overlap = electron wave function at nucleus
 - localization of muon at nucleus position
- Electron wave function by solving Schrödinger Eq.

■ Reaction rate $\Gamma(\mu^{-}e^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}e^{-}; Z) = 2\sigma v_{rel} |\psi_{1S}^{(e)}(0; Z-1)|^{2}$

Branching ratio

☑ Branching ratio for cLFV 4-Fermi contact operator

$$BR(\mu^{-}e^{-} \to e^{-}e^{-}) \equiv \frac{\text{reaction rate } \Gamma(\mu^{-}e^{-} \to e^{-}e^{-})}{\text{muon capture rate } (1/\tilde{\tau}_{\mu})}$$

= $3.31 \times 10^{-12} (Z-1)^{3} (\tilde{\tau}_{\mu}/\tau_{\mu}) \left[G_{12} + 16G_{34} + 4G_{56} + 8G'_{14} + 8G'_{23} - 8G'_{56} \right]$
 \mathcal{T}_{μ} : free muon lifetime
 $G_{ij} \equiv |g_{i}|^{2} + |g_{j}|^{2}$
 $G'_{ij} \equiv \operatorname{Re}(g_{i}^{*}g_{j})$

Enhancement factor from overlap of wave functionsPositive charge attracts electron toward the nucleus

Numerical result (previous work)

 \square The new process and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$ are described by same operator

☑ Relation between upper bounds of the new process and $\mu \rightarrow 3e$

$$\frac{\mathrm{Br}(\mu^{-}\mathrm{e}^{-}\to\mathrm{e}^{-}\mathrm{e}^{-})}{\mathrm{Br}(\mu^{+}\to\mathrm{e}^{+}\mathrm{e}^{+}\mathrm{e}^{-})} \lesssim 192\pi(Z-1)^{3}\alpha^{3}\left(\frac{m_{\mathrm{e}}}{m_{\mu}}\right)^{3}\frac{\tilde{\tau}_{\mu}}{\tau_{\mu}}$$



Shortcomings and improvements

Shortcoming and improvement (1)



Wave functions are distorted in nuclear Coulomb potential, especially for a large nucleus

☑ Improvement (1)	
Plane wave	Coulomb scattering wave function of Dirac Eq.

Shortcoming and improvement (2)



☑ The bound electron is relativistic Dirac particle

☑ Improvement (2)

NR wave function

Wave function of Dirac particle in point Coulomb potential

Shortcoming and improvement (3)



☑ Muon is located around the surface of nucleus, not at center

☑ Improvement (3)

Localized muon wave function

Wave function of Dirac particle in Coulomb potential by finite size nucleus

Numerical results

Improved formulation of the reaction rate

☑ Reaction rate (improved version)



- ☑ Large enhancement of the reaction rate by the improvements
- ☑ Example: upper bound for pb nucleus $\sim 3.5 \times 10^{-18}$
- Close to muon intensity at experiments in near future

Reason of enhancement



Wave function of initial electron approaches to nucleus Increase of overlap

 Wave function density of muon at nucleus becomes smaller
 Decrease of overlap

 Wave functions of final electron also approach to nucleus

Increase of overlap



Summary

Summary

- ☑ New cLFV process: $\mu^- e^- \rightarrow e^- e^-$ in muonic atom
- Improvements of wave functions
- Large enhancement of the reaction rate by distorted electron wave functions

First signal of cLFV may be discovered with the new process in near future



Backup slides

Other CLFV Physics at COMET Phase-I



e⁻ + e⁻



 µ⁻e⁻→e⁻e⁻ has two-body final state, although µ⁺→e⁺e⁺e⁻ is a 3body decay.

Osaka Universit

 A muonium CLFV decay such as μ ⁺e⁻→e⁺e⁺ is a 2-body decay having a larger phase space, but the overwrap of μ⁺ and e⁻ is small.

The overwrap between μ^{-} and e^{-} is proportional to Z³. For Z=82 (Pb), the overwrap increases by a factor of 5×10^{5} over the muonium. The rate is 10^{-17} to 10^{-18} .

Discussion (photonic dipole Interaction)

Cross section of cLFV elemental process

☑ cLFV effective Lagrangian

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mu^{-}e^{-} \rightarrow e^{-}e^{-}} = -\frac{4G_{\rm F}}{\sqrt{2}} \Big[m_{\mu}A_{\rm R} \,\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{\rm L} F_{\mu\nu} + m_{\mu}A_{\rm L} \,\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \sigma^{\mu\nu} e_{\rm R} F_{\mu\nu} + g_{1} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} e_{\rm L}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm R}} e_{\rm L}\right) + g_{2} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} e_{\rm R}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm L}} e_{\rm R}\right) + g_{3} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm R}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) + g_{4} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm L}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) + g_{5} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm R}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm L}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) + g_{6} \left(\overline{\mu_{\rm L}} \gamma^{\mu} e_{\rm L}\right) \left(\overline{e_{\rm R}} \gamma_{\mu} e_{\rm R}\right) + (\mathrm{H.c.}) \Big]$$





Branching ratio (photonic dipole Int.)

☑ Branching ratio

 $BR(\mu^{-}e^{-} \to e^{-}e^{-}) = 2.08 \times 10^{-9} (Z-1)^{3} (\tilde{\tau}_{\mu}/\tau_{\mu}) \left| |A_{\rm R}|^{2} + |A_{\rm L}|^{2} \right|$

1000 times larger than the 4-Fermi contact case

☑ Why? (next page)

☑ cLFV effective coupling

Enhancement factor from overlap of wave functionsPositive charge attracts electron toward the nucleus

Why the photonic BR >> the 4-Fermi BR?



 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Photon propagator in non-relativistic limit

$$\frac{1}{q^2} \simeq \frac{1}{m_\mu m_e}$$

☑ Large enhancement factor

$$\mathrm{BR}(\mu^- e^- \to e^- e^-) \Big|_{\mathrm{photonic}} \propto \mathrm{BR}(\mu^- e^- \to e^- e^-) \Big|_{4\text{-Fermi}} \times \left(\frac{m_{\mu}^2}{m_e^2}\right)$$

Upper bound (photonic dipole Int.)

 \square The new process and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$ are described by same operator

☑ Relation between upper bounds of the new process and $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

$$\frac{\operatorname{Br}(\mu^- e^- \to e^- e^-)}{\operatorname{Br}(\mu^+ \to e^+ \gamma)} \lesssim 4(Z-1)^3 \alpha^4 \frac{m_e}{m_\mu} \frac{\tilde{\tau}_\mu}{\tau_\mu}$$



Upper bound (photonic dipole Int.)

- Expectation: enhancement of the reaction rate by the improvements (work in progress)
- Could be discovered at next generation experiments



Overlap of wave functions



- Overlap = electron wave \checkmark function at nucleus
 - localization of muon at nucleus position

Electron wave function by solving Schrödinger Eq.

$$\psi_{1S}^{(e)}(r;Z) = \frac{(Z\alpha m_e)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \exp(-Z\alpha m_e r)$$

This leads large enhancement factor

Shortcoming and improvement (1)



$$\psi_{\kappa}(\vec{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{\kappa}(\hat{r})\\ if_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{-\kappa}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

solid line : upper component, g
dotted line : lower component, f
(black line : w.f. used in previous work)

Density near the center position becomes larger, and leads to enhancement of the reaction rate



Shortcoming and improvement (2)



$$\psi_{\kappa}(\vec{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{\kappa}(\hat{r}) \\ if_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{-\kappa}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

solid line : upper component, g
dotted line : lower component, f
(black line : w.f. used in previous work)

 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ More attracted, and leads to enhancement of the overlap

☑ Improvement (2)

NR wave function

Wave function of Dirac particle in point Coulomb potential

Shortcoming and improvement (3)



$$\psi_{\kappa}(\vec{r}) = \begin{pmatrix} g_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{\kappa}(\hat{r})\\ if_{\kappa}(r)\chi_{-\kappa}(\hat{r}) \end{pmatrix}$$

solid line : upper component, g
dotted line : lower component, f
(black line : w.f. used in previous work)

 $\ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ Density near the center position becomes smaller

☑ Improvement (3)

Localized muon wave function



Wave function of Dirac particle in Coulomb potential by finite size nucleus

Muon intensity at next generation Exp.

Muon intensity in working and future experiments

Collaboration	Searching for	Intensity
MEG	$\mu ightarrow { m e} \gamma$	$10^{7.5}\mu/{ m s}$
MUSIC	$\mu \to 3 \mathrm{e}$	$10^8\mu/{ m s}$
COMET	$\mu^- N \to e^- N$	$10^{11}\mu/{ m s}$
Mu2E (E973)	$\mu^- N \to e^- N$	$10^{11}\mu/{ m s}$
PRISM	$\mu^- N \to e^- N$	$10^{12}\mu/{ m s}$